

C8174
1.981

cop. 4

ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
BOARD OF CORRECTIONS
AND THE
COMMISSIONER
OF THE
SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FOR THE
PERIOD JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981



S. C. STATE LIBRARY
MAY 4 1984
STATE DOCUMENTS

PRINTED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE
STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD

ANNUAL REPORT
OF THE
BOARD OF CORRECTIONS
AND THE
COMMISSIONER
OF THE
SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

FOR THE
PERIOD JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981



**PRINTED UNDER THE DIRECTION OF THE
STATE BUDGET AND CONTROL BOARD**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|---|------|
| South Carolina State Board of Corrections | 8 |
| Directory of Key Administrators | 9 |
| Organization of the South Carolina Department of Corrections .. | 13 |
| Institutions of the South Carolina Department of Corrections ... | 16 |
| Historical Perspective | 21 |
| Significant Developments of FY 1981 | 25 |
| Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan | 26 |
| Renovations and Maintenance of SCDC Facilities | 29 |
| Institutional Openings and Closings | 30 |
| Correctional Reform Emphasizing Community Supervision/ Alternatives to Incarceration | 30 |
| Extended Work Release Program | 32 |
| Earned Work Credit Program | 32 |
| Victim Restitution Project | 35 |
| Reduction-In-Force | 36 |
| Automation Efficiency Offsetting Resource Constraints | 36 |
| Correctional Industries | 37 |
| Agricultural Production | 38 |
| Support Services | 39 |
| Personnel Administration and Training | 39 |
| Accreditation | 39 |
| Internal Affairs and Inspections | 40 |
| Health Services | 40 |
| Inmate Relations | 41 |
| Child Care/Development Coordination Project | 42 |

TABLE OF CONTENTS — Continued

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| CCI Consent Agreement | 43 |
| Death Row | 44 |
| Legislation Directly Affecting the South Carolina | |
| Department of Corrections | 45 |
| Federal Assistance During FY 1981 | 45 |
| Publications/Documents of the South Carolina | |
| Department of Corrections During FY 1981 | 49 |
| Statistical Section | 50 |
| Appendix | 131 |
| A. Statutory Authority of the South Carolina | |
| Department of Corrections | 132 |
| B. Youthful Offender Act | 133 |
| C. Programs and Services Administered by the | |
| South Carolina Department of Corrections | 134 |
| D. Community Programs | 135 |
| E. Counties Comprising South Carolina Planning | |
| Districts and Correctional Regions | 136 |
| F. Counties Comprising South Carolina | |
| Judicial Circuits | 137 |
| G. Offense Classification | 138 |
| H. Legislation Relating to Minimum Sentencing/Parole | |
| Eligibility For Armed Robbery and Murder | 140 |

TABLES AND FIGURES

| TABLE | PAGE |
|---|------|
| 1. Institutions and Centers of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, As of June 30, 1981 | 18 |
| 2. SCDC Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1960-1981 | 54 |
| 3. SCDC Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1967-1981 | 56 |
| 4. Per Inmate Costs of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, Fiscal Years 1973-1981 | 59 |
| 5. Expenditures of the South Carolina Department of Corrections, FY 1981 | 61 |
| 6. Flow of Offenders Through the South Carolina Department of Corrections, Fiscal Years 1980 and 1981 | 62 |
| 7. Distribution by Committing County and Region of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 65 |
| 8. Offense Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 69 |
| 9. Most Serious Offense of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 72 |
| 10. Sentence Length Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 75 |
| 11. Age Distribution of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 77 |
| 12. Distribution of Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 79 |
| 13. Distribution by Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 81 |
| 14. Distribution by Committing County and Region of SCDC Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 84 |
| 15. Type of Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 87 |
| 16. Most Serious Offense Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 90 |
| 17. Sentence Length Distribution of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 93 |

TABLES AND FIGURES — Continued

| TABLE | PAGE |
|---|------|
| 18. Age Distribution of SCDC Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 95 |
| 19. Age at Time of Admission of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 97 |
| 20. Custody Grade Distribution by Committing Region, Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates, As of June 30, 1981 | 99 |
| 21. Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 102 |
| 22. Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 104 |
| 23. Remaining Time to Serve of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 106 |
| 24. Distribution of Time Served and Type of Release of SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 | 108 |
| 25. Distribution of Inmates and Work Credits Earned by Type of Release and Time Served of Inmates Released During FY 1981 | 110 |
| 26. Distribution by Work Credits Earned and Type of Release of SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 | 112 |
| 27. Distribution of Average Daily Number of Inmates and Total Earned Work Credits by Job Assignment During FY 1981 .. | 113 |
| 28. Parole Board Action During FY 1981 | 122 |
| 29. Community Program Statistics, FY 1981 | 124 |
| 30. Youthful Offender Statistics, FY 1981 | 125 |
| 31. Distribution of SCDC Personnel by Race and Sex, As of June 18, 1981 | 126 |
| 32. Distribution of SCDC Security Strength by Facility, As of June 18, 1981 | 128 |
| 33. Number and Percentage of Inmates Admitted to SCDC Under the 1975 Armed Robbery Act/the 1977 Act Specifying 20-Year Parole Eligibility for Certain Life Sentences (FY 1976-1981) | 130 |

TABLES AND FIGURES — Continued

| FIGURE NUMBER | PAGE |
|--|------|
| 1 Organizational Structure, South Carolina Department of Corrections | 12 |
| 2 Locations of SCDC Institutions and Centers, As of June 30, 1981 | 20 |
| 3 SCDC Average Inmate Population, Calendar Years 1960-1981 | 55 |
| 4 SCDC Average Inmate Population, Fiscal Years 1967-1981 . | 57 |
| 5 Location of Average SCDC Inmate Population, FY 1981 ... | 58 |
| 6 Annual Per Inmate Costs of SCDC, FY 1973-1981 | 60 |
| 7 Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 . | 64 |
| 8 Inmate Admissions by Committing Counties and Correctional Regions During FY 1981 | 68 |
| 9 Offenses of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 71 |
| 10 Most Serious Offense of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 74 |
| 11 Sentence Lengths of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 76 |
| 12 Age Groups of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 .. | 78 |
| 13 Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 80 |
| 14 Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Inmates Admitted During FY 1981 | 82 |
| 15 Race and Sex of SCDC Inmates, As of June 30, 1981 | 83 |
| 16 Committing Counties and Correctional Regions of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 86 |
| 17 Offenses of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 89 |
| 18 Most Serious Offense of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 92 |
| 19 Sentence Lengths of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 94 |

TABLES AND FIGURES — Continued

| TABLE | PAGE |
|---|------|
| 20 Age Groups of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 96 |
| 21 Age at Time of Admission of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 98 |
| 22 Custody Grades of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 101 |
| 23 Committing Planning Districts of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 103 |
| 24 Committing Judicial Circuits of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 105 |
| 25 Remaining Time to Serve of SCDC Total Inmate Population, As of June 30, 1981 | 107 |
| 26 Time Served by SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 .. | 109 |
| 27 Average Time Served by SCDC Inmates Released During FY 1981 | 111 |
| 28 Percentage of SCDC Inmates Granted Parole by Fiscal Year (1978-1981) | 123 |
| 29 SCDC Personnel by Race, Sex and Type of Position, As of June 18, 1981 | 127 |

SOUTH CAROLINA STATE BOARD OF CORRECTIONS

Governor Richard W. Riley, *Ex Officio*

Chairman, Dr. Clarence E. Watkins Camden

Vice-Chairman, Mr. Eugene N. Zeigler Florence

Secretary, Mr. Norman Kirkland Bamberg

Member, Mr. Charles C. Moore Spartanburg

Member, Mr. Goetz B. Easton Anderson

Member, Mrs. Betty M. Condon Mt. Pleasant

DIRECTORY OF KEY ADMINISTRATORS*

Headquarters

Commissioner William D. Leeke
Executive Assistant Sterling W. Beckman
Administrative Assistant Sandra Jeffcoat
Legal Advisor Larry C. Batson
Director, Division of Special Projects Joann B. Morton
Director, Division of Public Information Sam E. McCuen
Director, Division of Internal Affairs and Inspections John W. Liddle
Director, Division of Inmate Relations Janice M. Foy

Deputy Commissioner for Administration Hubert M. Clements
Director, Division of Resource and
Information Management John F. Kososki
Director, Division of Personnel Administration
and Training Joseph F. Kerenick
Director, Division of Industries John A. Carullo
Director, Division of Support Services Fred A. Atkinson

Deputy Commissioner for Operations Charles A. Leath
Assistant Deputy Commissioner
for Institutions Jesse W. Strickland
Director, Division of Construction Nelson W. Meek
Director, Division of Engineering and
Maintenance Harry W. Oberlies

Deputy Commissioner for Program Services Paul I. Weldon
Director, Division of Classification and
Community Services David I. Morgan
Director, Division of Human Services William J. Deemer
Director, Division of Health Services Patricia B. Satterfield

* As of June 30, 1981.

Correctional Institutions

Appalachian Correctional Region

Regional Administrator William D. Catoe
Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Center,
Superintendent James H. Whitworth
Dutchman Correctional Institution,
Warden Donald F. Dease
Givens Youth Correction Center, Warden . . Robert H. Mauney
Greenwood Correctional Center, Warden Glenn T. Davis
Northside Correctional Center, Warden . . John C. Hatfield, Jr.
Oaklawn Correctional Center, Warden Paul D. Taylor
Perry Correctional Institution, Warden . . . Louis M. Mims, Jr.
Piedmont Work Release Center, Superintendent . John R. Lark

Division of Institutional Operations, Minimum Security

Director Blake E. Taylor, Jr.
Aiken Youth Correction Center, Warden R. Brien Ward
Campbell Work Release Center,
Superintendent Olin L. Turner
Catawba Work Release Center,
Superintendent Norma P. Johnson
Goodman Correctional Institution, Warden . . Judy C. Anderson
Lower Savannah Work Release Center,
Superintendent George A. Roof
Walden Correctional Institution, Warden . . . Willie R. Portee
Wateree River Correctional Institution,
Warden John H. Carmichael, Jr.
Watkins Pre-Release Center, Superintendent . Jerry D. Spigner

Division of Institutional Operations, Medium/Maximum Security


Director James L. Harvey
Central Correctional Institution, Warden . . . Joseph R. Martin
Kirkland Correctional Institution,
Warden George N. Martin, III
Manning Correctional Institution,
Warden Kenneth D. McKellar
Maximum Security Center, Warden Bobby J. Leverette
Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center,
Warden Laurie F. Bessinger
Women's Correctional Center, Warden James E. Aiken

Coastal Correctional Region

Regional Administrator L. J. Allen
Coastal Work Release Center, Superintendent . Frank A. Smith
MacDougall Youth Correction Center,
Superintendent Edsel T. Taylor
Palmer Work Release Center,
Superintendent Charles E. Grooms

FIGURE 1

South Carolina Department of Corrections
Organizational Structure



ORGANIZATION OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The South Carolina Department of Corrections (SCDC) is the administrative agency of South Carolina state government responsible for providing food, shelter, health care, security and rehabilitation services to all adult offenders, age 17 and above, convicted of an offense against the State and sentenced to a period of incarceration exceeding three months. As of June 30, 1981, SCDC had custody over 8,345 incarcerated adult inmates, of whom 873 are serving an indeterminate sentence under the Youthful Offender Act.¹ This Act provides indeterminate sentences of one to six years for offenders between the ages of 17 and 21 (extended to 25 with offender consent), placing them under the Division of Classification and Community Services' Youthful Offender Branch. The Youthful Offender Program essentially operates as a micro-correctional system within the Department, providing all youthful offenders a complete range of administrative, evaluative, parole and aftercare services. There were 938 youthful offenders on parole under SCDC supervision in the community as of June 30, 1981. Parole decisions pertaining to and the parole supervision of adult offenders are generally the responsibilities of the South Carolina Department of Parole and Community Corrections except for those sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act.

SCDC is headed by a Commissioner who is responsible to the State Board of Corrections, a six-member board appointed by the Governor upon advice and consent of the Senate. The Governor also serves on the Board as an ex officio member. The Commissioner has overall responsibility for the agency, supervising all staff functions and ensuring that all departmental policies are practiced and maintained. Under the immediate supervision of the Office of the Commissioner are the Legal Advisor, and the Divisions of Special Projects, Public Information, Internal Affairs and Inspections, and Inmate Relations.

To assist the Commissioner in system operations and program administration are three offices headed by Deputy Commissioners and eleven divisions supervised by Directors. These are described as follows:

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Administration has the major responsibility of coordinating all department-wide activities pertaining to resource and information management, industries, personnel administration and training, and support services. These four areas are individually the management responsibility of a division director, and a description of each is as follows:

¹ The provisions of this Act are summarized in Appendix B, page 133.

1. The Division of Resource and Information Management encompasses the functions of planning, budgeting, statistical reporting and analysis, computer operations, system development and programming, offender records and financial accounting.
2. The Division of Personnel Administration and Training develops and administers departmental personnel policies and procedures, handles all personnel matters and develops and implements employee training programs at all levels to meet agency needs.
3. The Division of Industries administers a prison industry program consisting of several production lines and four farming operations. These programs/operations provide work for inmates to help defray the cost of upkeep, and produce goods for other State agencies, institutions and political subdivisions.
4. The Division of Support Services directs purchasing, canteen, commissary and food service functions of the agency.

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Operations is responsible for managing all security, construction, and engineering and maintenance operations statewide. Reporting to this office are the Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions, the Division of Construction, and the Division of Engineering and Maintenance. The Division of Construction coordinates and supervises all construction projects in SCDC's Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan, while the Division of Engineering and Maintenance coordinates and supervises all major repairs and maintenance activities. Responsibility for the direct supervision of SCDC facilities is divided among two division directors and two regional administrators who report to the Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions. The placement and movement of SCDC inmates to and from local facilities designated to hold state inmates are also the administrative responsibility of the Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions.

The Office of the Deputy Commissioner for Program Services² is administratively responsible for defining, planning and developing an adequate program delivery system which will best meet the needs of the incarcerated. Delivering a broad spectrum of services under the supervision of this office are the Divisions of Classification and Community Services, Human Services, and Health Services. Services rendered by these divisions are described as follows:

1. The Division of Classification and Community Services implements standardized procedures for inmate classification, adminis-

² For a list of programs and services administered by SCDC, see Appendix C, page 134.

ters the youthful offender program as directed by the Youthful Offender Act, and supervises the placement of inmates in community programs, that is, the pre-release and work release programs, the Employment Program, the Extended Work Release Program, and the Restitution Program.

2. The Division of Human Services' field staff provides educational, psychological, social and specialized institutional services to inmates, and its central administrative staff provides service coordination and acquires external resources to supplement SCDC's efforts.
3. The Division of Health Services renders medical, dental and psychiatric care to inmates through its medical and dental staff, and contractual agreements. It operates two infirmaries, one psychiatric unit and coordinates the placement of inmates at the State Park Health Center and community hospitals as needed.

The aforementioned organizational structure of SCDC is illustrated in Figure 1, page 12.

INSTITUTIONS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

At the end of FY 1981, the Department of Corrections operated a total of 25 institutions, which are listed in Table 1, pages 18-19. Figure 2, page 20, shows their location. Of these, six are work release centers, one is a pre-release center, and one serves dually as a pre-release/work release center. Excluding the pre-release and work release centers, ten institutions are minimum security, one is minimum-medium security, one is medium security, three are medium-maximum security, and two are maximum security. Four SCDC institutions are primarily for younger offenders, and three of these facilities predominantly house inmates sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act. One SCDC institution is exclusively for female inmates.

The total design capacity of these institutions at the end of FY 1981 was 5,190. Design capacity for individual institutions is shown in Table 1, pages 18-19. The regional distributions of the design capacity are as follows: Appalachian Correctional Region — 1,215; Midlands Correctional Region — 3,623; Coastal Correctional Region — 352. The total incarcerated inmate population under SCDC jurisdiction at the end of FY 1981 was 8,345. Of these, 636 were housed in designated facilities, 111 were in the Extended Work Release Program in the community, and 148 were placed in other locations.³ Therefore, 7,450 inmates were housed in SCDC facilities, which were thus operating at 144% of design capacity.

Institutions of the South Carolina Department of Corrections are located in three divisions of the State known as correctional regions. (See Figure 2.) Institutions in the Appalachian and Coastal Regions are administered by a regional administrator. In the Midlands region the institutions are administered by the central agency headquarters. (See Directory of Key Administrators, Correctional Institutions, pages 10-11.)

Because of overcrowded conditions in SCDC institutions/centers, the Department has been housing state inmates in designated local facilities⁴ since FY 1975, as provided for by legislation. During FY 1981, the average number of SCDC inmates held in designated local facilities in 40 counties was 652 — 8% of the total SCDC average inmate population of 8,078.

³ These include the State Park Health Center, the State Law Enforcement Division, the Governor's Mansion, the Criminal Justice Academy, Alston Wilkes Half-way Houses, all medical facilities, inmates serving out-of-state (ICC), and other authorized absences.

⁴ See FY 1975 and FY 1976 SCDC Annual Report for details of the origin of designated facilities.

Besides housing inmates in designated facilities because of overcrowded conditions, SCDC also placed certain inmates in other special locations because of their unique assignments or needs. A 31-bed unit of the State Park Health Center, administered and operated by the Department of Health and Environmental Control (DHEC), was renovated and designed to hold SCDC inmates undergoing and recuperating from general surgery. Whereas DHEC provides the professional services, SCDC is responsible for the security staffing and procedures. Other locations, where a small number of inmates are housed for special assignments, are the State Law Enforcement Division, the Governor's Mansion and the Criminal Justice Academy.

TABLE 1

INSTITUTIONS AND CENTERS¹ OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

| | Key to Location Map (Figure 2) | Degree of Security | Description of Resident Population | Design Capacity | Average Daily Population FY 1981 | Average Daily Population as Percentage of Design Capacity |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|--|
| APPALACHIAN CORRECTIONAL REGION | | | | | | |
| Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Center (BRPR/WRC) | 1 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release | 143 | 188 | 131.5 |
| Dutchman Correctional Institution ² (DCI) | 5 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up | 528 | 375 | N/A* |
| Givens Youth Correction Center (GYCC) | 2 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25 | 76 | 136 | 178.9 |
| Greenwood Correctional Center (GCC) | 6 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up | 48 | 87 | 181.2 |
| Northside Correctional Center ³ (NCC) | 4 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up | 174 | 116 | N/A* |
| Oaklawn Correctional Center (OCC) | 3 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up | 60 | 103 | 171.7 |
| Perry Correctional Institution ⁴ (PCI) | 3 | Medium/ Maximum | Male, ages 17 and up — includes inmates undergoing reception processing | 144 | 153 | 106.2 |
| Piedmont Work Release Center (PiWRC) | 4 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release | 90 | 102 | 113.3 |
| DIVISION OF INSTITUTIONAL OPERATIONS/MINIMUM SECURITY | | | | | | |
| Aiken Youth Correction Center (AYCC) | 7 | Minimum | Male, ages 17-21 — primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25 | 238 | 223 | 93.7 |
| Campbell Work Release Center (CWRC) | 9 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release | 100 | 155 | 155.0 |
| Catawba Work Release Center (CaWRC) | 8 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release | 70 | 79 | 112.8 |
| Goodman Correctional Institution (GCI) | | | | | | |
| Geriatric/Handicapped Unit | 9 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up | 84 | 99 | 117.8 |
| Employment Program Dorm (EPD) | 9 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — participants in the employment program | 50 | 83 | 166.0 |
| Women's Work Release Dorm (WWRD) | 9 | Minimum | Female, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release and employment programs | 49 | 65 | 132.6 |
| Lower Savannah Work Release Center (LSWRC) | 7 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up | 45 | 58 | 128.9 |
| Walden Correctional Institution (WCI) | 9 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — primarily trustee grade inmates | 150 | 248 | 165.3 |
| Wateree River Correctional Institution (WRCI) | 12 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up | 432 | 482 | 111.6 |
| Watkins Pre-Release Center (WPRC) | 9 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release | 129 | 193 | 149.6 |

| | Key to Location Map (Figure 2) | Degree of Security | Description of Resident Population | Design Capacity | Average Daily Population FY 1981 | Average Daily Population as Percentage of Design Capacity |
|--|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------------------|--|--|
| DIVISION OF INSTITUTIONAL OPERATIONS/MEDIUM-MAXIMUM SECURITY | | | | | | |
| Central Correctional Institution (CCI) | 10 | Medium/ Maximum | Male, ages 17 and up | 1,100 | 1,522 | 138.4 |
| Kirkland Correctional Institution (KCI) | 9 | Medium/ Maximum | Male, ages 17 and up | 448 | 1,102 | 246.0 |
| Manning Correctional Institution (MCI) | 11 | Medium | Male, ages 17 and up — primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25 | 300 | 460 | 153.3 |
| Maximum Security Center (MSC) | 10 | Maximum | Male, ages 17 and up | 80 | 98 | 122.5 |
| Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center (MR&EC) ⁵ | 10 | Maximum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates undergoing intake processing | 180 | 181 | 100.6 |
| Women's Correctional Center (WCC) | 9 | Minimum/ Medium | Female, ages 17 and up | 168 | 262 | 156.0 |
| COASTAL CORRECTIONAL REGION | | | | | | |
| Coastal Work Release Center (CoWRC) | 15 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release programs | 62 | 92 | 148.4 |
| MacDougall Youth Correction Center (MYCC) | 14 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — primarily Youthful Offenders 17-25 | 240 | 426 | 177.5 |
| Palmer Work Release Center (PWRC) | 13 | Minimum | Male, ages 17 and up — inmates on work release or accelerated pre-release | 50 | 102 | 204.0 |

Source: The Assistant Deputy Commissioner for Institutions' Quarterly Capacities Report, June 30, 1981; Board Reports of the Division of Resource and Information Management, July, 1980 - June, 1981.

¹ The following institution/center closures occurred during FY 1981: Duncan Correctional Center - October 21, 1980; Laurens Correctional Center - October 29, 1980; Cherokee Correctional Center - November 6, 1980; Lexington Correctional Center - December 1, 1980; Travelers Rest Correctional Center - January 13, 1981; Appalachian Reception and Evaluation Center - June 6, 1981; Hillcrest Correctional Center - June 6, 1981.

² DCI became operational on October 21, 1980. Phased-in staff and inmate placement resulted in a gradual increase in daily population. As of June 30, 1981, 529 inmates were housed in DCI.

³ A new addition of 144 beds was opened at NCC during the latter half of FY 1981. Because of this new addition, a comparison of the average daily population and the design capacity would not be meaningful.

⁴ Three 48-bed maximum security housing units were operational at PCI, as of June 30, 1981. This includes a Reception and Evaluation Unit (opened June 6, 1981) for the Appalachian Correctional Region, and an Administrative Segregation Unit (opened June 29, 1981). While the design capacity of these units is 144, PCI's design capacity, when totally operational, will be 528.


⁵ This center serves as a regional intake service center for both the Midlands and Coastal Regions. The design capacity and FY 1981 average population shown for the MR&EC include both the MR&EC proper (capacity 100) and the leased portion of the Columbia City Jail (capacity 80).

* (N/A — Not applicable)

FIGURE 2

LOCATIONS OF SCDL INSTITUTIONS AND CENTERS, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

- 1 Blue Ridge PR/WR Center
- 2 Givens Youth Correction Center
- 3 Oaklawn Correctional Center
- 4 Northside Correctional Center
- 5 Dutchman Correctional Institution
- 6 Greenwood Correctional Center
- 7 Aiken Youth Correction Center
- 8 Catawba WR Center
- 9 Campbell WR Center
- 10 Goodman Correctional Institution
- 11 Kirkland Correctional Institution
- 12 Walden Correctional Institution
- 13 Watkins PR Center
- 14 Women's Correctional Center
- 15 Central Correctional Institution
- 16 Maximum Security Center
- 17 Midlands R & E Center
- 18 Manning Correctional Institution
- 19 Wateree River Correctional Institution
- 20 Palmer WR Center
- 21 MacDougall Youth Correction Center
- 22 Coastal WR Center



HISTORICAL PERSPECTIVE

Corrections in South Carolina has evolved, over the years, from county-operated prison systems to state administered institutions; from a single state penitentiary to a network of penal facilities throughout the State; from a punishment-oriented philosophy to a philosophy emphasizing humane treatment, rehabilitative services and community-based correctional programs. The following summary of significant developments and events in this evolution during the last several decades provides a perspective for the current efforts of the South Carolina Department of Corrections.⁵

Dual Prison System and Creation of SCDC

As a humane alternative to cruelties which had prevailed under county supervision of convicts, in 1866 the General Assembly passed an act which transferred the control of convicted and sentenced felons from the counties to the State and established the State Penitentiary. Although the Act stripped the counties of their responsibility for handling felons, shortly thereafter the counties' demands for labor for building and maintaining roads prompted the reversal of this provision; and by 1930 county supervisors assumed full authority to choose to retain convicts for road construction or to transfer them to the State. This dual prison system of state administered facilities and local prison and jail operations resulted in inequitable treatment of prisoners, and criticism of the system was widespread.

In the midst of the political and legal developments concerning state and county jurisdiction over convicts, the State Penitentiary expanded to a network of penal facilities throughout the State and experienced changes reflecting the evolution of correctional philosophy from retribution and punishment to humane treatment and rehabilitation. Despite notable improvements, overcrowding and mismanagement prevailed; as a result, the state correctional system was reorganized, and the Department of Corrections was created through legislative action in 1960. But the autonomy of the state and local systems remained intact, and the dual prison system continued.

Problems inherent in the dual prison system became increasingly evident as crime soared in the 1960's. The most critical problems were related to the absence of adequate planning and programming, inefficiency of resource utilization and inequitable distribution of rehabilitative services. Therefore, system reform of the total adult corrections system in South Carolina was necessary.

⁵ For greater details of these developments and events, see previous SCDC Annual Reports.

Consolidation of the South Carolina Adult Corrections System

While the problems of the dual prison system and the need for system reform had long been recognized, the major impetus for reform of the South Carolina adult corrections system was the 1973 Adult Corrections Study conducted by the Office of Criminal Justice Programs (OCJP). The major recommendations of this study were the elimination of the dual prison system in favor of a consolidated state system and regionalization of SCDC operations. Under the proposed consolidated system, the State would be responsible for all long-term adult offenders, ensuring their humane treatment, providing confinement, programs and services close to their home communities. Under the proposed regionalization, the State would be divided into ten correctional regions, and a regional corrections coordinating office, headed by a regional administrator, would be established in each region. The regional corrections coordinating office would be responsible for administration of all SCDC facilities in the area, including the development, coordination and support of regional correctional programs in their respective regions, and for coordination with the Department's central headquarters. Such regionalization was designed to provide for improved planning, coordination and administration of SCDC operations and to facilitate effective and efficient utilization of local community resources.

While some recommendations in the Adult Corrections Study were modified in the course of implementation, the overall concept was adopted as policy by the State Board of Corrections, and steps were immediately taken to consolidate and regionalize the adult corrections system in South Carolina. The major step toward consolidation was the closure of county prison operations. Legislation passed in June, 1974 gave the State jurisdiction over all adult offenders with sentences exceeding three months, and counties were required to transfer any such prisoners in their facilities to the Department. Either voluntarily or through negotiations with SCDC officials, counties began transferring their long-term prisoners to the State and closing their prison operations in May, 1973. Since May 1, 1973, 28 counties have closed their prisons or converted them to other use. As of June 30, 1981, only 10 counties operate prisons as a separate facility. Other counties operate combined facilities for detainees and sentenced inmates, county jails, correctional centers, detention centers and/or law enforcement centers.

The assumption of county prisoners and closing of local prison systems enabled the Department to take steps toward the ultimate regionalization of SCDC operations. One of the major steps toward implementation of regionalization was the alignment of contiguous planning districts into correctional regions. Continual in-house study of the geographic distribution of offenders and cost-benefit analysis of resource utilization

resulted in the Department's decision in FY 1975 to reduce the proposed number of correctional regions from the ten originally recommended by the Adult Correctional Study to four. Further in-depth examination of regionalization was undertaken as an integral part of the Ten Year Comprehensive Growth and Capital Improvements Plan developed in FY 1977 by the contract consultant, Stephen Carter and Associates. After studying the distribution of SCDC facilities throughout the State, the commitment trends of the inmate population, the Department's manpower and financial resources and the capital improvement requirements, the consultant recommended that the Department further reduce the number of correctional regions from four to three. This recommendation was implemented; and by the end of FY 1979, three correctional regions — Appalachian, Midlands, and Coastal — were established and became fully operational through regional corrections coordinating offices. As of January 1, 1980, all 32 of the Department's facilities were assigned under the administration of regional administrators through the regional corrections coordinating office in each of the correctional regions. Subsequently, however, because of budgetary constraints, it was necessary to close the Midlands Regional Office on May 14, 1981. The region remained as a geographical area only, and the institutions of that region reverted to the control of the central agency headquarters.

Population and Financial Crisis in Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976

SCDC's efforts to regionalize were made more difficult by the fact that this occurred during a time of unprecedented increases in crime in South Carolina, as well as throughout the nation. As a result of increasing crime, the counties' transfer of inmates to the State, and the legislative mandate for all long-term prisoners to be under SCDC jurisdiction, the Department experienced an unprecedented influx of offenders through the state corrections system during FY 1975. The number of inmates under state jurisdiction on June 30, 1975, (5,658) was 53% higher than on the same date the previous year (3,693). There was also an increase of more than 30% in the *average* daily population from FY 1974 to FY 1975 (from 3,542 to 4,618). However, this percentage increase was surpassed during FY 1976 when the average daily population under SCDC jurisdiction (6,264) increased by 35.6% over the FY 1975 figure, the largest known yearly increase in average daily population in SCDC history. Such increases in the number of inmates under state jurisdiction have been among the severest in the nation, as indicated by a nationwide survey of the National Clearinghouse for Criminal Justice Planning and Architecture. The state offender commitment rate was also ranked third highest in the nation in 1975. Another survey showed that

South Carolina experienced the nation's second highest percentage increase in state inmate population between January 1, 1975, and January 1, 1976. Between those two dates, SCDC population jumped by 38% as compared with an 11% increase in the total U. S. incarcerated population in state and federal prisons.

The dramatic increases in inmate population in Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976 have resulted in continued and intensified overcrowding in SCDC facilities as well as a constant strain on the Department's financial resources. Therefore, the Department has been forced to focus primary attention on solving the problems of overcrowding and limited financial resources. Short-term and long-range strategies directed toward overcoming either or both problems have involved renovation of existing facilities; realignment of existing space use; acquisition of additional facilities; expanded use of designated facilities; revision of Youthful Offender institutional release policies; revision of fiscal policies and procedures; introduction of economizing measures; revision of capital improvement plans; implementation of the Extended Work Release Program as an alternative to continued incarceration, and implementation of an Earned Work Credit Program, providing reduction in time to serve for inmates participating in productive work.

Stabilized Inmate Population Growth FY 1977-81

Partly as a result of SCDC's implementation of program alternatives to incarceration and partly because of a stabilization of commitments to the correctional system, the dramatic population increase in Fiscal Years 1975 and 1976 did not persist in subsequent years. Inmate population continued to increase but at a moderate rate, and in FY 1977-81 stabilization in the population level was witnessed. Average daily incarcerated inmate population was 7,167 in FY 1977, an increase of 14.4% over the previous year; 7,447 in FY 1978, an increase of 3.9%; 7,623 in FY 1979, increasing by 2.4%; 7,869 in FY 1980, an increase of 3.2% and 8,078 in FY 1981, an increase of only 2.6%.

SIGNIFICANT DEVELOPMENTS IN FY 1981

FY 1981 paralleled the previous year in many respects. Continued moderate inmate growth sustained overcrowded conditions in the agency's institutions, and a worsening fiscal situation demanded unprecedented management decisions.

The multilateral approach to dealing with overcrowding continued to include implementation of the Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan which provided the Department with 1,008 new bedspaces during the fiscal year, yielding a net increase of 632 beds after the closure of seven older institutions. Also targeted at the State's expanding inmate population, correctional reform emphasizing community supervision/alternatives to incarceration received priority attention from the Legislature and the Governor's Office during the year. Continuation of the Extended Work Release Program, Earned Work Credit Program, and the Victim Restitution Project rounded out the Department's approach to reducing overcrowding. Although all of the aforementioned efforts were primarily conceived as methods for reducing the overcrowding of SCDC's institutions, most of them were also regarded as means for dealing with resource constraints as well.

Primarily targeted at the paucity of resources, financial and otherwise, were the reduction-in-force, a first for SCDC, and the innovative automation of significant administrative functions. Contributing also in this area was an aggressive correctional industries program which realized increased sales of 48% during the year. Expectations from agricultural operations failed to materialize, however, as SCDC experienced difficulties similar to those encountered by South Carolina farmers across the state. Support services activities and personnel administration and training activities completed the significant inventory of inter-agency actions to operate in a more viable manner in the face of resource constraints. Finally, the Accreditation program for implementation of correctional standards, viewed by SCDC as a management tool for providing quality control and accountability, realized significant gains during the year. Also, state standards were completed for local juvenile detention facilities.

In regard to those activities during the year which were directed toward the ever important and continuing mission of exercising SCDC's responsibilities toward the inmate population, the year witnessed notable achievements in the area of Health Services. Inmate relations activities, the Child Care/Development Coordination Project, and the CCI Consent Agreement also contributed significantly in meeting inmate related needs during the year.

All of the developments mentioned briefly above as well as others are elaborated in the pages that follow.

Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan

In 1973 and 1974, in the anticipation of future building needs, the SCDC submitted capital improvements proposals to the State Budget and Control Board and in 1975 developed a master plan for the future growth of the Department through 1982. As the inmate population increased dramatically in 1975 and since continual increase was anticipated, it became apparent that the Department's capital improvements needs had to be reevaluated. In May, 1976, the consulting firm of Stephen Carter and Associates was retained to complete a ten-year capital improvements plan for the Department. The resultant document, *Comprehensive Growth and Capital Improvements Plan*, addressed future population projections, facility construction requirements, cost reducing alternatives to inmate population growth, and future directions for regionalization. The number of inmates in SCDC facilities was forecast to be 8,040 in 1980 and 12,500 by 1986. To accommodate this population level, the consultant recommended a three-phase capital improvements plan which included the construction of 8,064 new bedspaces to replace some existing facilities and to meet additional needs. The total cost was estimated to be \$116 million at the 1976 price level.

The Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan was endorsed by the Budget and Control Board and over a three-year period, a total of \$66,528,978 (\$19,720,760 in 1977; \$16,033,936 in 1978; and \$30,774,282 in 1979) was approved for SCDC implementation of Phase I, Phase II and Phase III projects as proposed in the plan. During FY 1981, various construction activities were ongoing with regard to these projects. The completion of projects in these three phases would yield 2,928 new bedspaces. Details on the funds allocated for and status of these projects at the end of FY 1981 are presented as follows:

STATUS OF APPROVED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECTS

PHASE I

| Projects Listing | Construction By* | Status as of June 30, 1981 | Estimated Completion | Approved Funds |
|------------------------------------|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Prototypical Design | C | A/E Services Continue | N/A | \$ 1,146,597 |
| Perry — 576 Bed Medium/Maximum | C | 98% Complete | 8-31-81 | 13,673,831 |
| Perry — Multi-Purpose Building | I | 0% Complete | 10-31-82 | 400,000 |
| Dutchman — 528 Bed Minimum | C | 98% Complete | 8-31-81 | 8,983,535 |
| Dutchman — Multi-Purpose Building | I | 0% Complete | 10-31-82 | 380,000 |
| Waterree Addition — 96 Bed Minimum | I | Completed | N/A | 623,163 |
| Abattoir | I | Completed | N/A | 435,185 |
| Renovations — Kirkland | I | Completed | N/A | 250,000 |
| Renovations — Waterree | I | 90% Complete | 12-31-81 | 377,000 |
| Roof Repair — MacDougall | I | Completed | N/A | 40,000 |
| Renovations — State Park | I | Completed | N/A | 180,000 |
| TOTALS PHASE I (1,200 Beds) | | | | \$26,489,311 |

* Construction by: C - Contract; I - Inmate Labor
N/A - Not applicable.

STATUS OF APPROVED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS PROJECTS

PHASE II

| Projects Listing | Construction By* | Status as of June 30, 1981 | Estimated Completion | Approved Funds |
|--|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------|----------------|
| Cross Anchor — 528 Bed Minimum | C | 10% Complete | 5-28-83 | \$10,419,047 |
| Northside Addition — 144 Bed Pre-Release | I | Completed | N/A | 1,449,009 |
| Livesay — 96 Bed Work Release | I | 65% Complete | 11-30-81 | 981,152 |
| Waterree Addition — 96 Bed Minimum | I | Completed | N/A | 623,071 |
| Coastal — 96 Bed Work Release | C | Design Completed | 2-28-82 | 1,157,282 |
| Outpatient Clinic Perry | I | Design Completed | 9-30-82 | 971,371 |
| Renovations Civilian Personnel | I | 63% Complete | 6-30-82 | 1,490,000 |
| Construction Equipment | | | | 854,000 |
| TOTALS PHASE II (960 Beds) | | | | 273,936 |
| | | | | \$18,218,868 |

* Construction by: C - Contract; I - Inmate Labor.
N/A - Not Applicable.

STATUS OF APPROVED CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS

PHASE III

| Projects Listings | Construction By* | Status as of June 30, 1981 | Estimated Completion | Approved Funds |
|--|------------------|---|----------------------|----------------|
| Francis Lieber — 576 Bed Medium/Maximum | CI | Design Completed | 12-31-83 | \$17,469,900 |
| Women's Addition — 96 Bed Medium | I | 5% Completed | 1-31-83 | 810,289 |
| Midlands — 144 Bed Pre-Release | I | 60% Completed | 5-31-82 | 1,722,825 |
| Dairy-Wateree | I | Design Underway | 2-28-83 | 771,110 |
| Warehouse, Food Service SCDC Headquarters | C | Design Completed | 5-31-82 | 223,277 |
| Warehouse, Industries SCDC Headquarters | C | Design Completed | 5-31-82 | 477,340 |
| Warehouse, Regional Appalachian Region | I | Pre-construction Activities Ongoing | Under Study | 122,981 |
| Regional Office Appalachian Region | I | 18% Completed | 12-31-81 | 223,077 |
| TOTAL PHASE III (816 Beds) | | | | \$21,820,799 |

* Construction by: C - Contract; I - Inmate Labor; CI - Contract and Inmate Labor.

While the Division of Construction monitored the progress of construction projects and implemented the plan's three phases as approved by the Budget and Control Board, SCDC's planning and analysis staff monitored population trends and bedspace demand on an ongoing basis. Based on the experienced and projected impact of the Extended Work Release Program and the Earned Work Credit Program as authorized by the Litter Control Act,⁶ the previous population projection utilized by Stephen Carter and Associates in the 1976 Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan was revised. The updated forecast utilized the state's general population and unemployment forecast as the basis of its estimates and assumes no change in legislation, adjudication pattern of courts, or parole decisions which would result in acceleration or deceleration of inmate admissions or affect the sentencing, admission, parole or release of inmates. Based on the forecast, the total average SCDC inmate population was projected to increase to only 9,735 for FY 1991, a considerable reduction from the number previously used for 1986. The result would be a substantial decrease in construction requirements and additional operating costs. Based on these revisions which reflect the impact of earned work credits and a projected stabilization of commitment trends, SCDC estimated its bedspace requirement in FY 1991 would be 8,916 (600 out of the projected 9,735 inmates would be placed in designated facilities and 150 on extended work release in the community, and 69 would be housed in other non-SCDC facilities.) Since Phase I, II and III projects would not yield adequate bedspace supply to meet this demand, additional projects to yield 2,880 new bedspaces were proposed. Of the total 2,880 new bedspaces, 2,064 were planned to be constructed through the inmate construction program and 816 by contract. The total costs of these proposed projects at 1980 dollar levels was \$86,597,588. The proposed new projects were described in an update of the Ten Year Capital Improvements Program completed in December, 1980. This document was submitted to the Budget and Control Board for its review.

Renovations and Maintenance of SCDC Facilities

Renovations were underway at a number of SCDC institutions throughout FY 1981. Institutional maintenance personnel, with guidance from the Division of Engineering and Maintenance, performed minor renovations, while major renovations were performed by the Division of Engineering and Maintenance personnel. Major renovation projects included the addition of a kitchen facility at Midlands Reception and Evaluation Center, installation of television surveillance equipment

⁶ Descriptions of these two programs are contained in pages 32 and 32-33, respectively.

at Maximum Security Center, reconstruction of the two-story dormitory at Aiken Youth Correction Center, and kitchen renovations at Central Correctional Institution and Aiken Youth Correction Center. Roofing repairs and renovations continued to be on-going at all of the older facilities, and painting also continued throughout most of the year. An additional major project was completed on state property known as the Lace House during the year. All maintenance work was performed with minimum civilian personnel using inmate work crews and, in several instances, using inmate supervisors.

Institutional Openings and Closings

Fiscal Year 1981 witnessed the opening of two new institutions in the Appalachian Region as planned for in the Ten Year Capital Improvements Plan. Dutchman Correctional Institution, a 528 bed minimum security institution opened on October 21, 1980 and Perry Correctional Institution, a 576 bed medium-maximum security institution opened on June 6, 1981. The 362 new positions required to staff these two institutions contributed significantly to jobs available for local residents of the area.

As a result of the new bedspaces that became available from the opening of Dutchman and Perry it was then feasible to proceed with the planned phase-out of the smaller, less cost-effective institutions that had earlier been acquired from the counties for the purpose of relieving overcrowding. The seven institutions which were thus closed, and their closing dates, are Duncan Correctional Center on October 21, 1980; Laurens Correctional Center on October 29, 1980; Cherokee Correctional Center on November 6, 1980; Lexington Correctional Center on December 1, 1980; Travelers Rest Correctional Center on January 13, 1981; and Appalachian Reception and Evaluation Center and Hillcrest Correctional Center on June 6, 1981.

Correctional Reform Emphasizing Community Supervision/ Alternatives to Incarceration

Correctional reform received priority attention from the Legislature and the Governor's Office during FY 1981. As a concerted effort among these two branches of government and criminal justice agencies, Act S.234 was passed and signed into law June 18, 1981, providing for the expansion of community supervision of non-violent offenders and modifying parole eligibility requirements in order to stabilize/reduce the incarcerated population. The Act specifically acknowledged overcrowded conditions in SCDC's institutions, South Carolina's highest rate of incarceration per capita in the United States, the prohibitive cost

of constructing more prisons, and the need for compensating victims. The Act also authorized the expansion of the Probation, Parole and Pardon Board and renamed it the Department of Parole and Community Corrections and the Board of Parole and Community Corrections.

Specific sections of the Act which would impact significantly on SCDC's future average daily inmate population and the demand for bedspace pertain to the following:

- After January 1, 1984, parole eligibility would be $\frac{1}{4}$ instead of $\frac{1}{3}$ of the sentence for inmates with not more than 40 years sentence and 10 years for lifers and inmates with sentences over 40 years. Offenders sentenced for the crime of murder, armed robbery, criminal sexual assault, assault and battery with intent to kill, or kidnapping would still have to serve $\frac{1}{3}$ before eligible for parole considerations.
- The extended work release program may become available to offenders with records of violent or premeditated crimes if they meet other program requirements such as satisfactory performance on work release.
- Work credits would become applicable towards parole eligibility for inmates with life sentences or convicted of armed robbery.
- A supervised furlough program would be developed and implemented permitting carefully screened and selected inmates who have not committed the crime of murder, armed robbery, criminal sexual assault, assault and battery with intent to kill, or kidnapping to be placed on furlough from SCDC and under the supervision of the state Probation and Parole agents.

Toward the end of the fiscal year, SCDC and the Department of Parole and Community Corrections' representatives were jointly developing policies, procedures, and cooperative guidelines for the furlough program as well as specific requirements and a timetable for the completion of a case classification system and a community corrections plan. SCDC's Division of Resource and Information Management also completed an impact analysis, the preliminary results of which estimate that SCDC's projected total population in FY 1991 can be potentially reduced by 12% if all the provisions for the alternatives to incarceration in S.234 are implemented full-scale and there are no changes in sentencing patterns among future admissions.

Just as SCDC cooperated closely with the Governor's Office and the Legislature in the formulation of S.234, its staff also coordinated with efforts of the Legislative Audit Council in a corrections study to examine in depth the problems and implications of overcrowding. One focus of

the study is the application of various previously validated predictive scales to the inmate population in estimating their potential for violence, and recidivism (return to prison). Another focus pertains to an analysis of sentencing patterns for selected offenses. It was anticipated that the Council's study would be completed around mid-FY 1982 and offer realistic cost-effective strategies to further reduce institutional overcrowding without compromising public safety.

Extended Work Release Program (EWRP)

Since legislative authorization on June 13, 1977, the EWRP has continued to facilitate the placement of eligible inmates in communities residing with family sponsors, thereby relieving work release bedspace for other inmates. Amended June 15, 1981, selection criteria for the EWRP now provides the exceptional regular work release resident, convicted of a first and not more than a second offense, the opportunity of residing with an approved community sponsor and to be gainfully employed in the community.⁷ Extended work release participants must have satisfactorily participated in regular work release, exhibited a desire to become a law-abiding citizen, and satisfied other standardized procedures set forth by departmental policy. Participants on EWRP are responsible to the assigned work release centers and are required to reimburse SCDC \$21.00 a week for supervision.

During FY 1981, 361 inmates were placed on EWRP; 269 successfully completed the program and were released or paroled from SCDC, and 48 were transferred to other programs, whereas 46 were terminated for rule violations. The number of inmates in the program averaged 145 during the fiscal year and on June 30, 1981, 118 program participants were residing with community sponsors rather than being housed in SCDC facilities.

Earned Work Credit Program (EWCP)

The Earned Work Credit Program was authorized as part of the Litter Control Act signed into law by the Governor on May 5, 1978. In addition to providing for the use of inmates for litter control and removal, the Act amended Section 24-13-230 of the 1976 S. C. Code of Laws, and authorized SCDC's Commissioner to allow a reduction of the term of sentence of inmates assigned productive duty. Earned Work Credits were to be awarded on the basis of performance on the assigned job as

⁷ Before the amendment, only inmates convicted of non-violent crime were allowed to participate in the EWRP.

well as the classification level. The job levels and the credits for a full-time job requiring more than four hours work a day are as follows:

Level 2: One Earned Work Credit for each two days worked.

Level 3: One Earned Work Credit for each three days worked.

Level 5: One Earned Work Credit for each five days worked.

Level 7: One Earned Work Credit for each seven days worked.

Those assigned to part-time jobs, requiring up to four hours work each work day, can earn one-half of the amount of credits shown above.

During FY 1981, an average of 5,827 inmates (or 72 % of the SCDC average daily population) were engaged productively on jobs and earning credits toward their time to serve. An additional 1,002 inmates, on the average, worked on jobs but due to their sentence category were not eligible for motivational work credits as specified by the Litter Control Act. Among those eligible for motivational work credit, a total of 430,627 motivational work credits were earned during this period for a productivity average of 74 credit days per inmate. These credits ultimately will result in an early release date for each of these inmates at an average of 57 days per 100 credit days earned for those released with sentence served and 100 days per 100 credit days for those paroled. A detailed breakdown of the daily average number of inmates in each job assignment, and the total and average numbers of work credits generated by each job during this period is presented in Table 27 in the Statistical Section, pages 113 through 121. The profile of inmates at each job level of productive work close to the end of FY 1981 was as follows:

| Level | Full Time | Part Time | Number of Inmates |
|---|--------------------|-----------|-------------------|
| 2 (One day credit for each two days worked) | 1,653 ⁸ | 4 | 1,657 |
| 3 (One day credit for each three days worked) | 1,584 | 15 | 1,599 |
| 5 (One day credit for each five days worked) | 1,325 | 49 | 1,374 |
| 7 (One day credit for each seven days worked) | 1,070 | 198 | 1,268 |
| Unassigned ⁹ | 2,447 | — | 2,447 |
| TOTAL | 8,079 | 266 | 8,345 |

⁸ Out of this, 62 jobs are assigned to the Litter Control Program at Horry, Richland and York Counties.

⁹ Unassigned inmates are primarily those housed in the R & E Center, Perry R & E Center, the Maximum Security Center, and facilities for Youthful Offenders. These individuals were either undergoing the intake process, or were confined under maximum security, or were participating in education/rehabilitation program on a full-time basis.

The Earned Work Credit Program was conceived as a strategy to stabilize inmate population, thereby controlling the spiralling long-term capital improvements and operating costs. Although the program has been authorized for only three years and was fully operational for about 2½ years through the end of FY 1981, the effects of earned work credits had already impacted on the SCDC population level and operational costs through the reduction in time served of released inmates. Between July 1, 1980 and June 30, 1981, 4,485 inmates were released from SCDC. Out of that number 2,660 inmates (59 %) had their time served reduced via the productive work provisions of the Litter Control Act.¹⁰ Collectively, these 2,660 released inmates had their time reduced by 245,785 inmate days (or an average of 92 days per inmate affected). Thus, due to Earned Work Credit provisions, the average decrease in bedspace needs was 673. The population count on June 30, 1981, would have been 614 higher without the provisions of the Litter Control Act authorizing earned work credits. Using the FY 1981 average daily cost

¹⁰ Of the remaining 1,825 inmates released, 269 had earned work credits totalling 6,931 but because of a combination of circumstances were not affected in their release eligibility.

per inmate of \$16.62 of state funds (or \$17.78 of total funds) the reduction of time served of the 2,660 released inmates generated a savings (or reduced the need) of \$4,084,947 in state funds (or \$4,370,057 in total funds).

The total impact of the Earned Work Credit Program since its inception on May 5, 1978, has been tremendous. Since the program became operational on July 3, 1978, 13,771 inmates have been released from SCDC. Of this number 7,364 inmates (53%) had their time served reduced as a result of this program. These 7,364 released inmates had their time reduced by 497,522 inmate days (or an average of 68 days per inmate affected). Using the average daily cost per inmate, for the period FY 80-81, of \$16.62 of state funds (or \$17.78 of total funds) the reduction of time served of the 7,364 released inmates generated a savings (or reduced the need) of \$8,268,816 in state funds (or \$8,845,941 in total funds).

Whereas these statistics were encouraging evidence of the population stabilization and cost saving effects of the Earned Work Credit Program, its potential and full impacts have yet to be seen. As the program continues and the time period in which inmates have accrued work credits lengthens, the program's results and impacts are expected to accumulate at an accelerating rate.

Victim Restitution Project

During FY 1980, SCDC explored restitution as another alternative to incarceration to reduce overcrowding. In November, 1979, the SCDC received from the National Institute of Corrections a \$24,831 grant to conduct a restitution program planning project. Project activities included: review of literature and legislation on applicable restitution programs, development of information relative to restitution in South Carolina, drafting of appropriate legislation, development of program policies and procedures, and designing evaluation procedures to monitor the program implementation and results. By June, 1980, the plan for implementing a restitution program in SCDC neared completion, and the Victim Restitution Program was fully implemented in November of 1980.

Under the restitution program, the Department of Corrections is able to place the non-violent, first and not more than second, offenders sentenced to not more than seven years in the work release program earlier so that the offender may pay back the victim for the property stolen and/or damages incurred at the time of the offense. For victimless crimes, the offenders may be required to pay into the program's administrative fund. An important element in the restitution program is the judge's action at the time of sentencing. The presiding judge, at the time

of sentencing, must indicate on the commitment order the maximum amount of property loss and/or damage, to be utilized by SCDC in the implementation of the restitution program. Without this input from the judge, by law, the Department cannot proceed with the restitution agreement. The offender, in addition, must agree to participate in the program. If he/she agrees to participate, he will be required to pay victim restitution in an amount not to exceed 10% of his weekly work release salary. As of June 30, 1981, there were 22 inmates participating in the Victim Restitution Program, 6 of whom were making restitution to various victims across the State.

Reduction-In-Force

In August, 1980, SCDC officially notified the State Budget and Control Board of an anticipated deficit of approximately \$1.9 million dollars for FY 1981. In compliance with a directive from the Budget and Control Board, an Austerity Plan was developed and implemented in order to absorb the anticipated deficit. Subsequent to submitting the Austerity Plan to the Budget and Control Board, several events beyond SCDC's control occurred which increased the projected deficit by approximately \$530,000. The contributors to this increased deficit included the rate increase for water and sewer proposed and later implemented by the City of Columbia, the loss of military surplus clothing, and the inability of Prison Industries to continue to subsidize certain utility costs.

The only alternative available to the Department to absorb the increased deficit and to meet the Budget and Control Board's mandate of 7% budget cut for state agencies was to implement a reduction-in-force. In January, 1981, the Department was forced to lay-off 53 employees and abolish an additional 25 vacant positions in order to absorb the increased deficit. Employees whose positions were abolished were placed in other essential positions or were recalled as vacancies became open due to normal attrition. During the development of the reduction-in-force policy and its implementation, uncertainty adversely affected morale among employees; however, overall disruption to agency operation was minimized and no grievances were filed. By working very closely with the Budget and Control Board, enforcing the Austerity Plan to ensure its success, and by implementing the reduction-in-force, the Department was able to end the fiscal year without a deficit.

Automation Efficiency Offsetting Resource Constraints

Since inmate population and service needs have increased and are projected to increase at a faster rate than funds available, the automation of most administrative functions has become a real solution to the

dilemma that the agency faced in terms of both constrained resources and increasing demands for services. The efficiency gained in inmate processing and record management has been exemplified in the automated mathematical calculation of inmate release dates and work credits, as well as on-line entry and retrieval of inmate data at all institutions. SCDC's inmate information system is among the most advanced in the nation in being accurate, real-time-on-line, manual record eliminating and computationally decision-making.

To further increase efficiency, efforts were made during FY 1981 to meet additional data processing needs. Among the identified needs were automated record capability at SCDC's reception and evaluation centers with direct access to central jails and SLED data files, word processing hardware/software capabilities, and on-line programming. The scope of such hardware/software needs, cost, and other operational considerations had dictated the necessity for SCDC's acquisition of an independent host computer instead of relying on support from the Division of General Services. After considering all relevant factors, the Computer Systems Management Office (CSM) of the Budget and Control Board approved SCDC establishing its own independent host data center. Subsequently, a request for proposal (RFP) was developed and submitted to CSM in May, 1981. The hardware procurement included a host computer system; needed tape, disk storage and associated peripherals; telecommunication lines; three local processing devices and 17 programmer terminals. Among the operating software procurements are the host and line handling software, a data base system including an integrated data dictionary, statistical/report units software, and teleprocessing/on-line programming/utility/interface software. Such a new system is still within the budget of the existing system and would take advantage of the more efficient technological gains and cost reductions currently available in the computer industry with new hardware and software.

Correctional Industries

During Fiscal Year 1981, Correctional Industries increased sales by 48%. This increase was mainly caused by substantial increases at the KCI Furniture Factory and the Apparel Plant with other shops reporting moderate gains. The furniture sales increase was partially the result of the promotion of a new market area for refinishing and repair of furniture among hotels and motels at Myrtle Beach and other major metropolitan areas throughout the state. Additionally, Industries was successful in facilitating the repair of school furniture for school systems during the year. The additional furniture repair business from hotels

during the winter months and the ability to schedule school furniture repairs throughout the year rather than only in summer months resulted in a more uniform and efficient utilization of SCDC production capacity. The previous cyclical difference in production capacity utilization was reduced significantly.

FY 1981 also witnessed the near completion of two new industrial plants in the Appalachian Region. With contractual services from the John R. Wall Company, a janitorial product plant was established at the new Dutchman Correctional Institution. The plant was projected to be operational in September, 1981, with a plant manager appointed to handle the necessary preparations. The second plant is a new wood working unit at Perry Correctional Institution scheduled to be operational in October, 1981. Whereas Correctional Industries heretofore provided productive employment for about 10% of the inmate population, this percentage is expected to increase as these two plants become operational.

Among other significant developments were the efforts to promote sales during the fiscal year. The Correctional Industries' catalog was updated and distributed to all state agencies and other tax-supported institutions. Industries' sales staff also made numerous presentations on Correctional Industries' products at workshops and conventions to promote business. Other developments included a feasibility study of completely rebuilding the laundry at Manning Correctional Institution which had become technologically inefficient. To facilitate the preparation of financial statements and decision making, a technical assistant grant was obtained from the Systems Development Division, Law Enforcement Assistance Administration, Department of Justice to develop computer software in accounts payable, inventory control and accounts receivable.

Agricultural Production

SCDC's agricultural operations encountered difficulties similar to those encountered by South Carolina farmers across the state during the year. The increased cost of feed and supplies and the severe drought resulted in a tremendous setback causing decrease in revenue and livestock inventories and only moderate increase in the swine operation. Soybeans and corn production in FY 1981 declined from the level in FY 1980 although small grains increased by 32.6%. A decrease in beef market prices as well as a decrease in live weight shipped to slaughter resulted in a decrease of just over \$30,013 (11.6% of the FY 1980 level) in cattle revenue. Total beef cattle inventory, however, increased by 20%. Similarly, setbacks were experienced in the dairy operations stemming from excessive culling caused by a mastitis outbreak. Consequently,

22,923 fewer gallons of milk were shipped to SCDC Food Services during the fiscal year. The number of swine shipped to slaughter increased by 35% and market value increased by 64.7%. Improvements in the swine operation were witnessed in the building of breeding pens, and the reduction of the weaned pig mortality rate.

Support Services

FY 1981 saw the new abattoir placed into operation, providing SCDC the capability of processing all of the beef and pork production received from the farm, thereby meeting the total menu requirements for these items. Moreover, equipment in the new abattoir made it possible to train inmate abattoir workers in the various phases of butchery and meat processing. Certificates were awarded by Midlands Technical College to all inmates who attended the required number of hours in this training. Twenty-three cafeterias were in operation during FY 1981, each having attained Class "A" Health Certificates. The cost of feeding one inmate per day was approximately \$1.64, of which \$1.04 was State funds, 30 cents was from the U. S. Department of Agriculture, and 34 cents was from the SCDC farm. Canteen sales reached an all time high in FY 1981, totalling more than \$2,800,000. Losses were notably low at only .8%.

Personnel Administration and Training

Recognizing that personnel stability and quality contribute significantly to agency efficiency and effectiveness, SCDC focused on reducing security staff turnover, management and supervisory training, and improved scheduling. The turnover rate for security personnel continued its decline from the 21% in FY 1980 to 19% in FY 1981. Agency trainers continued to utilize in-house training materials and conducted management/supervisory training for middle/lower managers. Because of improved information/reporting mechanisms and scheduling for correctional officers, a substantial amount of accrued compensatory time was eliminated.

Accreditation

In the fall of 1974, the American Correctional Associations' Commission on Accreditation for Corrections was established to provide a voluntary accreditation program for implementation of correctional standards. The South Carolina Department of Corrections viewed the accreditation program as a management tool for providing quality control and accountability, as a means of protecting the legal rights of inmates as delineated by numerous federal court decisions, and as an incentive to meet professional standards. Consequently, following the approval of

the Board of Corrections in July, 1980, the Agency proceeded with a review of standards and began to revise Agency policy and procedures in order to move toward a better position to apply for accreditation.

In March, 1981, the Agency contracted with the Commission on Accreditation for Corrections for accreditation of the Parole Field Services of the Youthful Offender Branch. All nine field offices completed the required self-evaluation and Parole Field Services are expected to be accredited early in FY 1982. Also in 1981, the revised editions of *Standards for Adult Community Residential Centers* and *Standards for Adult Correctional Institutions* were distributed to all institutions. All work release and pre-release centers completed in-house self-evaluations which will be used to select a center to apply for accreditation during FY 1982. The remaining institutions will complete self-evaluations and two additional institutions will be selected to enter into the accreditation process in FY 1982.

Internal Affairs and Inspections

The development of Minimum Standards for Detention of Juveniles in Local Facilities was completed during the first half of the year with final coordination of the standards among all interested outside agencies. In December of 1980 these standards were approved by the Board of Corrections and the S. C. Association of Counties. A training program in use of the standards was presented to 464 jail administrators and trainers involved with juvenile facilities, and each such facility was provided with a policy and procedures manual to assist in complying with standards requiring written policies and procedures. Beginning July 1, 1981, annual inspections of local detention facilities will be based on both Adult and Juvenile Standards, if juveniles are detained.

Health Services

FY 1981 was marked by the continued enhancement of quality inmate health care through a number of innovations. First, in the area of education and training, the Health Services Division initiated a Nursing Education Program, an Emergency Medical Training Program for correctional officers, and a Cardio-Pulmonary Resuscitation Certification program for professionals and paraprofessionals. In the medical records area, improvements were achieved through the initiation of an automated records system which will provide each institution immediate access to inmate medical records as needed, thus facilitating timely medical treatment.

Other significant innovations were the introduction of University of South Carolina nursing students into SCDC out-patient clinics through

an affiliation arrangement between SCDC and the University, and the initiation of a health care quality assurance program. This latter program entails a continuing audit of medical records by a committee of health care professionals in order to identify and correct any possible deficiencies in treatment approaches. In the area of mental health, with the relicensing in September, 1980, of the Mental Health Unit by the Department of Mental Health, came the establishment of a new treatment philosophy and a broadened admission criteria to include a wider range of psychological problems. Also initiated was a program of written treatment plans. A final noteworthy development in FY 1981 was the passage of a law requiring autopsies of any person who dies while incarcerated in a state correctional facility. This law gives the coroner authority to order such autopsies rather than leaving the matter to the person's next of kin.

Direct health care costs rose from \$2,421,475 in FY 1980 to \$3,575,000 by the end of FY 1981. However, a significant part of this increase resulted from the opening of Dutchman Correctional Institution and Perry Correctional Institution during the year, and the inclusion of the Mental Health Unit costs in the Division of Health Services budget area for the first time in FY 1981. Moreover, approximately \$250,000 of the increase is accounted for by hospital and professional fees for outside inmate health care. Per capita health care costs rose from \$303 in FY 1980 to \$442 in FY 1981.

Inmate Relations

Maintaining fair and standardized disciplinary procedures and providing channels for resolving inmate grievances have become increasingly important in view of prison overcrowding and growing court intervention. Inmate relations received special attention during Fiscal Year 1981 when two positions were created to oversee disciplinary hearings and grievances, respectively.

The position of Hearing Officer was created in March, 1980 for the purpose of providing professional and technical guidance to the agency's internal administrative disciplinary hearing process. The Hearing Officer presides over disciplinary hearings held at institutions in the Columbia area, helps to ensure adherence to agency policies, rules on questions of procedure and evidence, and assists disciplinary committees in attempting to arrive at fair and equitable decisions. The Hearing Officer also examines current policies to ensure that all federal and state mandates in the area of disciplinary hearings are adequately addressed, and can recommend changes or alterations in practices or policies to the Commissioner.

The position of Inmate Grievance Coordinator was established at the close of the fiscal year to provide training and assistance to all SCDC institutions in the planning, development, and implementation of the Inmate Grievance Procedure, a system designed to help remedy both potential and active conflicts in the State's correctional system. Through this mechanism, SCDC policies or actions of concern to a single inmate, or to the entire inmate population, may be reduced to writing and brought to the attention of appropriate officials for reply. The Inmate Grievance Coordinator provides technical assistance to institutional personnel at all stages of this process, and also oversees the operation of the process at all levels within the SCDC to ensure that the goal of resolving conflict through this process is effectively achieved. The Grievance Coordinator is also charged with the responsibility of seeing that all SCDC policies in this area conform with the standards for inmate grievance procedures set forth by the U. S. Attorney General's Office (28 CFR Part 40), so that the U. S. Attorney General may certify this grievance procedure. Upon certification, the SCDC may gain the benefit of requiring inmates to exhaust this system prior to their filing suits in federal courts under 42 USC Sec. 1983.

Child Care/Development Coordination Project

Building upon the successes of SCDC's award winning Sesame Street Child Care Center project,¹¹ the Department continued efforts to expand this extremely beneficial service and in July, 1980, was awarded a child care/development grant from the Law Enforcement Assistance Administration through the Governor's Office of Criminal Justice Programs. As with the Sesame Street project, the primary objectives of the grant were to alleviate noisy and overcrowded visiting rooms, and to provide activities to occupy the minds and time of young children who visit incarcerated family members. A significant activity of the grant was an extensive survey of the visiting facilities and routines in all SCDC institutions. Through this survey seven institutions indicated a need for some type of day care services for children. A manual was developed to guide in the implementation of child care centers and plans are underway to implement child activity centers in four institutions: Central Correctional Institution; Givens Youth Correction Center; Dutchman Correctional Institution; and Northside Correctional Center. Technical assistance will continue to be available to other institutions that have a need for child day care services.

¹¹ Details on this project are contained in SCDC's FY 1979 Annual Report, pages 53-54.

CCI Consent Agreement

On February 23, 1976, during a period when SCDC's population was increasing at an unprecedented rate, a civil suit was filed in U. S. District Court by several CCI inmates. The complaint, Mattison, et al. versus S. C. Department of Corrections, et al., as amended on January 5, 1977, alleged that in the operation of CCI the defendants had violated and were continuing to violate the Eighth and Fourteenth Amendments, the Civil Rights Law, and the Laws of South Carolina in failing to provide the plaintiffs with a safe and healthy environment, reasonable preventive health care, and reasonable protection from violence. In essence, the overcrowded living conditions, the inadequate numbers of correctional officers, and the deteriorating physical conditions at CCI were being challenged.

On July 26, 1978, SCDC signed an agreement, known as the Consent Agreement, to settle the suit. From the date of the agreement, SCDC was to accomplish certain actions at CCI within 30 months, 48 months and 60 months, hereafter referred to as Phases I, II and III, respectively. These actions and their status as of the end of the fiscal year are outlined as follows:

Phase I Actions. To be accomplished within 30 months, i.e., by December 31, 1980:

- Employment of additional security officers sufficient to provide one officer at each ward on a 24-hour basis, seven days per week.
- Reduction of population in CB-1 such that all cells have only one occupant.
- Removal of the interior steel cells on CB-1 and installation of recreational facilities.
- Development and implementation of a classification system to identify and control violent inmates and to remove them from the wards.
- Housing only volunteers in CB-1.

Status: All of the actions cited above have been accomplished.

Phase II Actions. To be accomplished within 48 months, i.e., by July 26, 1982: Reduction of population in Wards 1 through 10 to no more than 55 per ward, and in the Honor Ward to no more than 100.

Status: Wards 1 through 10 have been reduced to 75 each and the Honor Ward to 125. Further reductions to 55 and 100 respectively is assured well before the deadline.

Phase III Actions. To be accomplished within 60 months, i.e., by July 26, 1983: Certain inmates will be in single cells, i.e., psychiatric patients, safekeepers, those with death sentences, protective custody, and with some exceptions, disciplinary cases.

Status: SCDC is currently largely in compliance, with full compliance expected well before the deadline.

In addition to the aforementioned actions, SCDC is required to confine no more than 1,713 inmates at CCI (i.e., the population level on the day of execution of the Consent Agreement.) Moreover, compliance with all aspects of Phase II of the Agreement will limit the inmate population to 1,300 by July 26, 1982, and Phase III requirements will limit the population to 1,229 by July 26, 1983. Current plans assure that these ceilings will be met well within the permitted time frame.

Death Row

As set forth by S. C. Law, for those persons convicted of murder and sentenced to death, the SCDC has the responsibility of "providing a death chamber and all necessary appliances for inflicting such penalty by electrocution" (Section 24-3-540, S. C. Code of Laws). Since the Amendment and passage of the current death penalty law in June, 1977, SCDC has been housing these inmates on Death Row at CCI as safekeepers for the counties. At the beginning of this fiscal year, there were 12 inmates housed on Death Row. During the year, 10 more inmates were added to death row, whereas one inmate's sentence was commuted to life. Accordingly, the number on Death Row increased. Of the 21 inmates on Death Row at fiscal year end, 13 were white and 8 were non-white; all were male and sentenced for murder. Their ages ranged from 19 to 40, with an overall average age of 27. They were engaged in varying stages of the appeals process with an average stay on Death Row of 31 months.

As the result of an appeal, one inmate's death sentence, after being housed on Death Row for 32 months, was commuted to a life sentence on June 15, 1981. Including this most recent commutation, a total of 2 inmates have now had their death sentences commuted to life since the reinstatement of capital punishment in 1977.

LEGISLATION DIRECTLY AFFECTING THE DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The following Acts or Joint Resolutions affecting SCDC were passed by the General Assembly in the FY 1980-81 legislative session:

- H2350 — A joint resolution to amend Section 186 or Part I of Act 517 of 1980 (General Appropriation Act) relating to the authorized number of personnel employed by the state, so as to provide that the Department of Corrections may transfer up to \$1,467,714 of unused funds appropriated for new positions to be used for operating expenses (signed by the Governor, March 18, 1981).
- H2428 — An Act to authorize the South Carolina Department of Corrections to transfer and exchange foreign national prisoners under specific circumstances (signed by the Governor, April 27, 1981).
- H2427 — An Act to amend Section 24-3-330, *Code of Laws of South Carolina*, 1976, relating to the purchase of products produced by convict labor so as to allow the Department of Corrections to purchase prison goods from other states for resale to other agencies and political subdivisions of the State; and to amend Section 24-3-410, as amended, relating to the sale of prison-made products on the open market so as to allow the Department of Corrections to provide for adult work activities centers through contract with private sector businesses and to provide work for the physically handicapped and mentally retarded or aged inmate (signed by the Governor, May 5, 1981).
- S234 — An Act to amend the *Code of Laws of South Carolina*, 1976, as it relates to corrections, jail, probation, paroles, and pardons (signed by the Governor, June 15, 1981). See page 33 for discussion of this Act.

FEDERAL ASSISTANCE DURING FY 1981

U. S. DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE, LAW ENFORCEMENT ASSISTANCE ADMINISTRATION (LEAA)

Action Grants through the Division of Public Safety Programs, Office of the Governor.

- Improvement of Security Officer Training: \$68,815 for January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980; \$31,478 for January 1, 1981 to July 31, 1981.
- Management Information System: \$126,882 for January 1, 1980 to December 31, 1980; \$56,181 for January 1, 1981 to September 30, 1981.

- Prison/Jail Standards. Development of standards for inspection of South Carolina's juvenile detention facilities: \$60,533 for August 1, 1980 to July 31, 1981.
- Child Care Development/Coordination Project: \$27,229 for July 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- In-service training for SCDC personnel: \$8,283 for April 1, 1980 to March 31, 1981.
- Expansion and improvement of the 30-day pre-release program at Blue Ridge Community Pre-Release Center and Watkins Pre-Release Center: \$44,651 for May 1, 1980 to April 30, 1981.
- Provision of extra-agency community-based program services to SCDC inmates: \$15,698 for June 1, 1980 to May 31, 1981.
- Psychological evaluations of work release candidates: \$28,200 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$19,001 for October 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.
- Increased supervision of Youthful Offenders: \$113,472 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$92,915 for October 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981;
- Staff Training and Development: Two grants totaling \$804 to send professional staff to workshops and seminars from July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.

Discretionary Grants

- Free Venture Project, designed to develop a self-supporting prison industry and provide ex-offenders experience for employment in private industry: \$105,000 for July 28, 1980 to August 13, 1981.
- Victim Restitution Project to develop a model for victim restitution which may be used to reduce the need for institutionalization of offenders: \$24,831 for November 1, 1979 to July 31, 1980 (funded through the National Institute of Corrections).

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

The following grants were funded through the CETA Division, Office of the Governor, under the Comprehensive Employment and Training Act (CETA):

- Multi-Skills Training Project providing instruction in Brick Masonry, carpentry, and plumbing at Kirkland Correctional Institution: \$87,538 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$90,000 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- Individualized Training in self-concept improvement, reading, mathematics and other complimentary skills to inmates at Central Correctional Institution: \$141,576 for October 1, 1979 to Sep-

tember 30, 1980; \$80,000 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.

- Assessment, counseling, instruction, referral, and follow-up services for incarcerated youths at five SCDC institutions: \$230,120 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$265,400 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- Manpower Services Delivery Coordination Project to minimize the duplication of employment and training services through the development and implementation of a comprehensive CETA Service Delivery System for Offenders: \$19,973 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980; \$18,735 for August 1, 1980 to September 30, 1980; \$109,139 for October 31, 1980 to September 30, 1981.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES AND U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Through the South Carolina State Department of Education:

- Adult Basic Education: \$146,543 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981. (\$128,178 of this money is State funds.)
- Title I education funds for disadvantaged youth to upgrade education programs in SCDC: \$407,153 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.
- Specialized vocational training programs (auto mechanics, electricity, carpentry, masonry, and welding) at Central Correctional Institution, Kirkland Correctional Institution, MacDougall Youth Correction Center, Givens Youth Correction Center, Northside Correctional Center, and the Women's Correctional Center: \$361,138 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.
- Title IV, Part B funds for instructional materials and equipment: \$2,596 for October 31, 1980 to September 30, 1981.
- Direct Service Delivery to improve financial support of educational programs for handicapped youths, ages 17-21: \$10,968 for July 1, 1980 to June 30, 1981.

Through the U. S. Environmental Protection Agency

- Upgrade wastewater facilities at Oaklawn, Travelers Rest, and Wateree River Correctional Institutions, and MacDougall Youth Correction Center: \$446,271 for August 30, 1979 to December 31, 1980.

Through the S. C. State Library Board

- Provide reading materials to inmates: \$13,000 for October 1, 1979 to September 30, 1980.

Through the Executive Office of Policy and Programs

- Provide internship opportunities for students: \$4,935 for June 6, 1980 to August 14, 1980; \$3,797 for September 22, 1980 to December 12, 1980; \$5,498 for February 2, 1981 to April 24, 1981.

Through the State Board for Technical and Comprehensive Education

- Provide vocational training in horticulture: \$25,000 for October 1, 1980 to September 30, 1981.

**PUBLICATIONS/DOCUMENTS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
DURING FY 1981¹²**

Regular Reports

Annual Report of the Board of Corrections and the Commissioner of the
South Carolina Department of Corrections
Monthly Report to the Board of Corrections
Semi-Annual Statistical Report, Division of Resource and Information
Management
Inmate Guide
SCDC Adjustment Committee Guide, Division of Inmate Relations

Newsletters

Intercom, quarterly newsletter prepared by the Department's Public
Information Director for employees, inmates, and related organiza-
tions
About Face, bi-monthly newsletter prepared by the Department of
Corrections' inmates

Special Reports

A Guide for Implementing Child Care Centers in Correctional Institu-
tions
Ten-Year Capital Improvements Program (For Fiscal Years 1981-82
through 1990-91)
A Five-Year Program Plan for the South Carolina Department of Cor-
rections (1980-84)
Minimum Standards for Local Detention Facilities in South Carolina,
Vols. I-IV
Youthful Offender Services, Information Guide
Division of Community Services, Resident Guide
SCDC Inmate Grievance Procedure Training Manual
Escapes Relative to Staffing and Training at the Dutchman Correctional
Institution and the South Carolina Department of Corrections:
Overview and Analysis

¹² For previous SCDC publications and documents, see previous SCDC Annual Re-
ports.

STATISTICAL SECTION

Detailed inmate statistics are presented on pages 54 to 130. Tables 7 to 13 therein delineate the characteristics of inmates admitted to SCDC during FY 1981. Tables 14 to 23 describe the inmate population in SCDC at the end of FY 1981. Tables 24 to 26 pertain to inmates released from SCDC during FY 1981. The following provides an overview of inmate population flow and characteristics.

Average Population and Facility Occupancy in FY 1981.

- During FY 1981, on an average daily basis, SCDC had 8,078 incarcerated inmates under its custody. For every 100 inmates, 92 were housed in SCDC facilities and 8 in Designated Facilities.
- SCDC's average daily population in FY 1981 was a moderate increase of 2.6% from that of FY 1980.
- SCDC facilities continued to be overcrowded in FY 1981, even though major construction was completed during the year to provide some relief in bed spaces available. Overall, SCDC facilities were housing about one and one-half times the number of inmates they were designed to hold.
- Individually, Kirkland Correctional Institution was the most overcrowded, housing two and one-half times as many as its design capacity. Three other facilities holding close to twice their respective design capacities were: Palmer Work Release Center, Greenwood Correctional Center, Given Youth Correction Center, and MacDougall Youth Correction Center.
- In only 3 of the 27 SCDC facilities was there a lack of overcrowding on an average daily basis.

Profile of Inmates Admitted to SCDC During FY 1981.

Of the 5,511 admissions recorded by the Correctional Information System during FY 1981, their profile was as follows:

- For every 100 inmates admitted, 44 were white male, 51 non-white male, 2 white female and 3 non-white female.
- Forty (40) out of every 100 inmates admitted were from the Appalachian Region, 32 from the Midlands Correctional Region and 28 from the Coastal Region.
- The leading (most common) offenses among admissions were: Larceny (47 out of 100 inmates admitted were convicted of this offense), Burglary (19/100), traffic offenses¹³ (16/100), Dangerous Drugs (15/100), Robbery (11/100), and Assault (9/100).
- The average age for inmates admitted in FY 1981 was 27 years 6 months (six months older than FY 1980 admissions). Generally as

¹³ Including Driving Under the Influence.

groups, non-whites were slightly younger (one to two years) than whites, and males slightly younger than females.

- For every 100 inmates admitted, 18 were 19 years of age or younger and 49 between 20 to 29 years of age (more than half, therefore were 30 or younger).
- On an average, inmates admitted in FY 1981 had an average sentence of five years and two months. (This average is seven months higher than that in FY 1980.)
- Generally, non-white male admissions had longer average sentences than white males (five years six months for the former, four years and four months for the latter). Noted differences in offenses/nature of crimes may contribute to variations in sentence. Female admissions had shorter average sentences than males.
- For every 100 admissions, 18 had a YOA sentence and 29 had a sentence of a year or less. Both the number and proportion of YOA's decreased in FY 1981 as compared to FY 1980. The reverse was true of the one year or less category.

Profile of Inmates in SCDC as of June 30, 1981.

There was a total of 8,345 inmates in SCDC as of June 30, 1981 (258 or 3.2% more than about the same date a year ago). The characteristics of these inmates were as follows:

- For every 100 inmates in SCDC, 40 of them are white males, 56 non-white males, 2 white females and 2 non-white females.
- There were about the same proportion of non-white males in the system on June 30, 1981 (56%), as there were on June 28, 1980 (55%). The same was true of white males (40% and 41%, respectively).
- Out of every 100 inmates, 13 were in AA custody, 42 in A, 31 in B, 11 in C, and 3 in M. This custody grade composition had no major difference from that on June 28, 1980.
- Leading offenses for inmates in SCDC on June 30, 1981, were: Larceny (45 out of every 100 inmates were convicted of this offense), Robbery (27/100), Homocide (17/100), Burglary (20/100), Assault (14/100), and Dangerous Drugs (12/100). (This configuration was about the same as that of the population on June 28, 1980.)¹⁴

¹⁴ Because of the relatively fast turnover with short sentences, the leading offenses for the inmate population in SCDC on specific dates were quite different from those for admission cohorts. Traffic offenses which carry relatively short sentences were the second leading (most common) among admissions cohorts, but ranked number eight among offenses for the inmate population as of June 30, 1981. Only 7.0% of inmate population as of that date were convicted of traffic offenses, whereas 19% of FY 1981 admissions were serving time for the same crimes.

- The average age among all inmates in SCDC on June 30, 1981 was 28 years 8 mos. of age (28 years 7 mos. a year ago). This average was the same for females. Non-white males were about the same age as their white counterparts (28 years and 29 years, respectively).
- The average sentence of the SCDC inmate population on this date was 12 years 1 month. For the non-white males, the average was 12 years 8 months, as compared to 11 years 6 months for white males. White females had an average sentence of 8 years 2 months; non-white females, 9 years 2 months.
- There were fewer YOA's in SCDC on June 30, 1981 than a year ago (822 or 9.8% versus 859 or 10.6%). There was an increase in the number of lifers (661 (7.9%) on June 30, 1981 versus 610 (7.5%) a year ago).
- On June 30, 1981, there were relatively more non-white males (8.1%) than white males (7.6%) in the life category, whereas, there were more white males (12.4%) than non-white males (8.0%) in the YOA sentence category.

Statistics on Inmates Released from SCDC During FY 1981.

During FY 1981, SCDC released 4,485 inmates. Out of every 100 inmates released, 21 were youthful offenders paroled by the Youthful Offender Branch of SCDC's Division of Classification and Community Program; 33 were paroled by the Probation, Parole and Pardon Board; 34 had served the maximum term of their sentence after consideration for good time credits; and 6 were placed on probation. The remaining 6 were released upon paying a fine or appeal bond or death.

- For every 100 inmates released, over half (53) served one year or less while close to one and one-half served ten or more years. The average time served for all inmates released was 1 year and 10 months.
- Of those inmates eligible and considered for parole at parole hearings in FY 1981, 1,624 (58%) were granted parole.

TABLE 2
SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION
1960-1981

(CALENDAR YEARS)

| Year | In SCDC Facilities | In Designated Facilities ¹ | Total Under SCDC Jurisdiction | Absolute Change Over Previous Year | Percent Change Over Previous Year |
|-------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1960 | 2,073 | ... | 2,073 | ... | ... |
| 1961 | 2,132 | ... | 2,132 | 59 | 2.9 |
| 1962 | 2,226 | ... | 2,226 | 94 | 4.4 |
| 1963 | 2,304 | ... | 2,304 | 78 | 3.5 |
| 1964 | 2,378 | ... | 2,378 | 74 | 3.2 |
| 1965 | 2,396 | ... | 2,396 | 18 | 0.8 |
| 1966 | 2,287 | ... | 2,287 | -109 | -4.6 |
| 1967 | 2,333 | ... | 2,333 | 46 | 2.0 |
| 1968 | 2,362 | ... | 2,362 | 29 | 1.2 |
| 1969 | 2,519 | ... | 2,519 | 157 | 6.7 |
| 1970 | 2,705 | ... | 2,705 | 186 | 7.4 |
| 1971 | 3,111 | ... | 3,111 | 406 | 15.0 |
| 1972 | 3,300 | ... | 3,300 | 189 | 6.1 |
| 1973 | 3,396 | ... | 3,396 | 96 | 2.9 |
| 1974 | 3,931 | ... | 3,931 | 535 | 15.8 |
| 1975 | 5,105 | 379 | 5,484 | 1,553 | 39.5 |
| 1976 | 6,064 | 675 | 6,739 | 1,255 | 22.9 |
| 1977 | 6,618 | 762 | 7,380 | 641 | 9.5 |
| 1978 | 6,838 | 725 | 7,563 | 183 | 2.5 |
| 1979 | 6,976 | 703 | 7,679 | 116 | 1.5 |
| 1980 | 7,349 | 670 | 8,019 | 340 | 4.4 |
| 1981 ² | 7,436 | 633 | 8,069 | 50 | 0.6 |

¹ Since April 1, 1975, suitable county and local facilities have been designated as facilities to hold State inmates as a temporary measure to alleviate overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities.

² Average calculated from January - June population figures.

FIGURE 3

Average Number
of Inmates

SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION
(Calendar Years 1960-1981)




TABLE 3
SCDC AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION
1967-1981

(FISCAL YEARS)

| Year | In SCDC Facilities | In Designated Facilities ¹ | Total Under SCDC Jurisdiction | Absolute Change Over Previous Year | Percent Change Over Previous Year |
|------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| 1967 | 2,287 | ... | 2,287 | ... | ... |
| 1968 | 2,378 | ... | 2,378 | 91 | 4.0 |
| 1969 | 2,355 | ... | 2,355 | -23 | -1.0 |
| 1970 | 2,537 | ... | 2,537 | 182 | 7.7 |
| 1971 | 2,859 | ... | 2,859 | 322 | 12.7 |
| 1972 | 3,239 | ... | 3,239 | 380 | 13.3 |
| 1973 | 3,341 | ... | 3,341 | 102 | 3.1 |
| 1974 | 3,542 | ... | 3,542 | 201 | 6.0 |
| 1975 | 4,582 | 36 | 4,618 | 1,076 | 30.4 |
| 1976 | 5,696 | 568 | 6,264 | 1,646 | 35.6 |
| 1977 | 6,419 | 748 | 7,167 | 903 | 14.4 |
| 1978 | 6,709 | 738 | 7,447 | 280 | 3.9 |
| 1979 | 6,910 | 713 | 7,623 | 176 | 2.4 |
| 1980 | 7,187 | 682 | 7,869 | 246 | 3.2 |
| 1981 | 7,426 | 652 | 8,078 | 209 | 2.6 |

¹ Since April 1, 1975, suitable county and local facilities have been designated as facilities to hold State inmates as a temporary measure to alleviate overcrowded conditions in SCDC facilities.

FIGURE 4





FIGURE 5
LOCATION OF AVERAGE INMATE POPULATION
FY 1981

[Total Average Population = 8,078]



*These are inmates assigned to the Criminal Justice Academy, SLED Headquarters, the State Park Health Center, the Governor's Mansion, and the Commissioner's Home.

TABLE 4

**PER INMATE COSTS OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 1973-1981¹**

| Fiscal Year | Based on State Funds Spent | | Based on all Funds ² Spent | |
|-------------|----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Annual Per Inmate Costs | Daily Per Inmate Costs | Annual Per Inmate Costs | Daily Per Inmate Costs |
| 1973 | \$2,419 | \$ 6.63 | \$3,145 | \$ 8.62 |
| 1974 | 2,886 | 7.91 | 3,707 | 10.16 |
| 1975 | 3,430 | 9.40 | 4,147 | 11.36 |
| 1976 | 3,322 | 9.10 | 4,102 | 11.24 |
| 1977 | 3,384 | 9.27 | 4,075 | 11.16 |
| 1978 | 4,114 | 11.27 | 4,826 | 13.22 |
| 1979 | 4,796 | 13.14 | 5,488 | 15.03 |
| 1980 | 4,995 | 13.65 | 5,666 | 15.47 |
| 1981 | 6,067 | 16.62 | 6,489 | 17.78 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ Calculation of the SCDC per inmate costs is based on the average number of inmates in SCDC facilities and does not include state inmates held in designated facilities.

² That is, state and federal funds and other revenues.

FIGURE 6

ANNUAL PER INMATE COSTS OF SCDC
(FY 1973-1981)

Dollars




TABLE 5

**EXPENDITURES OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA
DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FY 1981**

| Office | Total Expenditures* |
|---|------------------------|
| 1. Office of the Commissioner (Includes Special Projects, Legal Advisor, Divisions of Inmate Relations, Public Information, and Internal Affairs and Inspections) | \$ 1,111,234.00 |
| 2. Administration (Includes Divisions of Industries, Support Services, Personnel Administration and Training, and Resource and Information Management) | 3,316,595.00 |
| 3. Operations (Includes Divisions of Construction, Engineering and Maintenance, Institutional Operations-Medium/Maximum Security and Institutional Operations-Minimum Security, and Appalachian and Coastal Correctional Regions) | 38,359,455.00 |
| 4. Program Services (Includes Divisions of Classification and Community Services, Human Services, and Health Services) | 5,402,293.00 |
| GRAND TOTAL SCDC | \$48,189,577.00 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

* Includes state appropriations, federal funds, and other revenues. Also included in these figures are employer contributions and fringe benefits. Excludes capital improvement expenditures.

TABLE 6
FLOW OF OFFENDERS THROUGH THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS
FISCAL YEARS 1980 and 1981

| | Fiscal Year 1980 | Fiscal Year 1981 | Absolute Change | Percentage Change |
|---|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| SCDC INMATE GAINS | | | | |
| New Inmates Received by R & E Centers | 5,337 | 5,617 | 280 | 5.2 |
| Direct from courts | 3,893 | 4,054 | 161 | 4.1 |
| Transfers from counties | 8 | 1 | -7 | -87.5 |
| Parole revocation | 135 | 195 | 60 | 44.4 |
| Probation revocation | 32 | 30 | -2 | -6.2 |
| YOA parole revocation | 52 | 39 | -13 | -25.0 |
| Revocation of suspended sentence | 124 | 239 | 115 | 92.7 |
| YOA 5b ¹ | 133 | 126 | -7 | -5.3 |
| YOA 5c ¹ | 954 | 926 | -28 | -2.9 |
| YOA 5d ¹ | 0 | 0 | — | — |
| Transfers from DYS ² | 0 | 0 | — | — |
| Transfers, ICC ³ | 6 | 7 | 1 | 16.7 |
| (Women) ⁴ | (285) | (242) | (-43) | (-15.1) |
| Other Inmates Received | 845 | 855 | 10 | 1.2 |
| From DYS | 0 | 0 | — | — |
| Safekeepers | 32 | 31 | -1 | -3.1 |
| Hospital patients from counties | 575 | 559 | -16 | -2.8 |
| Escapes returned | 206 | 262 | 56 | 27.2 |
| Readmitted to count | 32 | 3 | -29 | -90.6 |
| TOTAL SCDC INMATE GAINS | 6,182 | 6,472 | 290 | 4.7 |

TABLE 6 (Continued)

| | Fiscal Year 1980 | Fiscal Year 1981 | Absolute Change | Percentage Change |
|--|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|----------------------|
| SCDC INMATE LOSSES | | | | |
| Released Less Good Time ⁵ | 2,928 | 2,919 | -9 | -0.3 |
| Released Per Court Order | 251 | 199 | -52 | -20.7 |
| Paroled ⁶ | 1,619 | 2,194 | 575 | 35.5 |
| Pardoned | 0 | 0 | — | — |
| Escapes | 218 | 314 | 96 | 44.0 |
| Transferred to Counties | 601 | 558 | -43 | -7.2 |
| Transferred to State Hospital | 142 | 85 | -57 | -40.1 |
| Transferred to DYS | 0 | 0 | — | — |
| Transferred, ICC | 0 | 0 | — | — |
| Released to U. S. Marshal | 2 | 3 | 1 | 50.0 |
| Death | 17 | 25 | 8 | 47.0 |
| TOTAL SCDC INMATE LOSSES | 5,778 | 6,297 | 519 | 9.0 |
| NET GAIN/LOSS | 404 | 175 | — | — |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ See Section B of the Appendix, page 133, for a detailed explanation of the Youthful Offender Act.

² DYS — Department of Youth Services.

³ ICC — Interstate Corrections Compact; through the ICC, an offender convicted of a crime in a party state may be transferred to his home state to serve his sentence, subject to the rules and regulations of the state in which he was convicted.

⁴ Female offenders are initially received through Midlands R & E Center for photographing and fingerprinting only; they are transferred to the Women's Correctional Center for evaluation. The number of inmates received from each category includes both males and females. The total number of females received from all categories is also reported separately in the parentheses here. When totalling the number of inmates received, the numbers appearing in parentheses should not be included since it would result in double counting of females.

⁵ Included in this category are also youthful offenders conditionally and unconditionally released by the SCDC's Division of Classification and Community Services.

⁶ That is, paroled by the Parole and Community Corrections Board. The numbers shown in this category do not include youthful offenders paroled (or conditionally released) by the Division of Classification and Community Services' Parole Board.

FIGURE 7
RACE AND SEX OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED
DURING FY 1981




TABLE 7
DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF SCDC INMATES
ADMITTED DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)

| Committing County | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | | |
|---------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------------------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | | |
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| APPALACHIAN | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CORRECTIONAL REGION | 1,156 | 47.6 | 945 | 33.7 | 67 | 49.3 | 44 | 30.3 | 2,212 | 40.1 | — |
| Abbeville | 13 | 0.5 | 7 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.4 | 41 |
| Anderson | 107 | 4.4 | 42 | 1.5 | 6 | 4.4 | 2 | 1.4 | 157 | 2.8 | 12 |
| Cherokee | 89 | 3.7 | 67 | 2.4 | 3 | 2.2 | 2 | 1.4 | 161 | 2.9 | 11 |
| Edgefield | 5 | 0.2 | 28 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 33 | 0.6 | 37 |
| Greenville | 440 | 18.1 | 385 | 13.7 | 25 | 18.4 | 22 | 15.2 | 872 | 15.8 | 1 |
| Greenwood | 58 | 2.4 | 85 | 3.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 146 | 2.6 | 14 |
| Laurens | 28 | 1.2 | 31 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.5 | 2 | 1.4 | 63 | 1.1 | 23 |
| McCormick | 4 | 0.2 | 10 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 15 | 0.3 | 46 |
| Oconee | 51 | 2.1 | 11 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 63 | 1.1 | 25 |
| Pickens | 121 | 5.0 | 34 | 1.2 | 14 | 10.3 | 1 | 0.7 | 170 | 3.1 | 9 |
| Saluda | 6 | 0.2 | 18 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 25 | 0.4 | 40 |
| Spartanburg | 234 | 9.6 | 227 | 8.1 | 15 | 11.0 | 11 | 7.6 | 487 | 8.8 | 2 |
| MIDLANDS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CORRECTIONAL REGION | 626 | 25.8 | 1,027 | 36.6 | 45 | 33.1 | 74 | 51.0 | 1,772 | 32.2 | — |
| Aiken | 49 | 2.0 | 55 | 2.0 | 2 | 1.5 | 3 | 2.1 | 109 | 2.0 | 16 |
| Allendale | 5 | 0.2 | 31 | 1.1 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 38 | 0.7 | 35 |
| Bamberg | 9 | 0.4 | 33 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 43 | 0.8 | 32 |
| Barnwell | 12 | 0.5 | 19 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 31 | 0.6 | 39 |
| Calhoun | 3 | 0.1 | 13 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 0.3 | 44 |
| Chester | 18 | 0.7 | 32 | 1.1 | 2 | 1.5 | 1 | 0.7 | 53 | 1.0 | 30 |
| Clarendon | 16 | 0.6 | 40 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 2.1 | 60 | 1.1 | 26 |
| Fairfield | 9 | 0.4 | 23 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 32 | 0.6 | 38 |
| Kershaw | 15 | 0.6 | 34 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 50 | 0.9 | 31 |
| Lancaster | 30 | 1.2 | 30 | 1.1 | 3 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 63 | 1.1 | 23 |
| Lee | 4 | 0.2 | 12 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 0.3 | 44 |
| Lexington | 92 | 3.8 | 58 | 2.1 | 11 | 8.1 | 7 | 4.8 | 168 | 3.0 | 10 |

TABLE 7 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF SCDC INMATES
ADMITTED DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Committing County | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | | |
|------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------------------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | | |
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| Newberry | 20 | 0.8 | 34 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 57 | 1.0 | 28 |
| Orangeburg | 46 | 1.9 | 87 | 3.1 | 6 | 4.4 | 8 | 5.5 | 147 | 2.7 | 13 |
| Richland | 101 | 4.2 | 277 | 9.9 | 9 | 6.6 | 27 | 18.6 | 414 | 7.5 | 3 |
| Sumter | 71 | 2.9 | 96 | 3.4 | 4 | 2.9 | 9 | 6.2 | 180 | 3.3 | 8 |
| Union | 32 | 1.3 | 26 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 59 | 1.1 | 27 |
| York | 94 | 3.9 | 127 | 4.5 | 4 | 2.9 | 11 | 7.6 | 236 | 4.3 | 5 |
| COASTAL CORRECTIONAL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| REGION | 646 | 26.6 | 830 | 29.6 | 24 | 17.6 | 27 | 18.6 | 1,527 | 27.7 | — |
| Beaufort | 36 | 1.5 | 40 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 2.1 | 79 | 1.4 | 18 |
| Berkeley | 43 | 1.8 | 19 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 64 | 1.2 | 22 |
| Charleston | 104 | 4.3 | 218 | 7.8 | 6 | 4.4 | 9 | 6.2 | 337 | 6.1 | 4 |
| Chesterfield | 26 | 1.1 | 39 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 66 | 1.2 | 20 |
| Colleton | 15 | 0.6 | 21 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 36 | 0.6 | 36 |
| Darlington | 49 | 2.0 | 60 | 2.1 | 1 | 0.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 111 | 2.0 | 15 |
| Dillon | 24 | 1.0 | 15 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 39 | 0.7 | 34 |
| Dorchester | 36 | 1.5 | 33 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.4 | 71 | 1.3 | 19 |
| Florence | 96 | 4.0 | 113 | 4.0 | 6 | 4.4 | 3 | 2.1 | 218 | 4.0 | 6 |

TABLE 7 (Continued)

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------|-------|-------|------|-----|-------|-----|------|-------|-------|----|
| Georgetown | 20 | 0.8 | 36 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 56 | 1.0 | 29 |
| Hampton | 7 | 0.3 | 13 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 20 | 0.4 | 41 |
| Horry | 115 | 4.7 | 86 | 3.1 | 9 | 6.6 | 5 | 3.4 | 215 | 3.9 | 7 |
| Jasper | 11 | 0.4 | 8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 20 | 0.4 | 41 |
| Marion | 34 | 1.4 | 53 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 88 | 1.6 | 17 |
| Marlboro | 24 | 1.0 | 42 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 66 | 1.2 | 20 |
| Williamsburg | 6 | 0.2 | 34 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 41 | 0.7 | 35 |
| OUT-OF-STATE | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| TOTAL | 2,428 | 100.0 | 2,802 | 99.9 | 136 | 100.0 | 145 | 99.9 | 5,511 | 100.0 | — |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

² Ranking is in descending order according to number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked number one.

FIGURE 8

INMATE ADMISSIONS BY COMMITTING COUNTIES
AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS DURING FY 1981




TABLE 8

**OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Offense Classification ¹ | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| Homicide | 114 | 196 | 10 | 17 | 337 | 6.1 | 9 |
| Kidnapping | 3 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 14 | 0.3 | 27 |
| Sexual Assault | 23 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 0.8 | 23 |
| Robbery | 171 | 396 | 5 | 15 | 587 | 10.6 | 5 |
| Assault | 157 | 297 | 8 | 18 | 480 | 8.7 | 6 |
| Abortion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Arson | 35 | 19 | 2 | 1 | 57 | 1.0 | 21 |
| Extortion | 5 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 15 | 0.3 | 26 |
| Burglary | 449 | 557 | 8 | 5 | 1,019 | 18.5 | 2 |
| Larceny | 1,187 | 1,278 | 42 | 62 | 2,569 | 46.6 | 1 |
| Stolen Vehicle | 154 | 150 | 3 | 1 | 308 | 5.6 | 10 |
| Forgery and Counterfeiting | 146 | 201 | 41 | 27 | 415 | 7.5 | 7 |
| Fraudulent Activities | 148 | 99 | 87 | 40 | 374 | 6.8 | 8 |
| Embezzlement | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 6 | 0.1 | 30 |
| Stolen Property | 101 | 130 | 0 | 2 | 233 | 4.2 | 13 |
| Damage to Property | 62 | 57 | 1 | 3 | 123 | 2.2 | 19 |
| Dangerous Drugs | 498 | 290 | 30 | 15 | 833 | 15.1 | 4 |
| Sex Offense | 66 | 92 | 0 | 1 | 159 | 2.9 | 16 |
| Obscene Materials | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.1 | 32 |
| Family Offenses | 105 | 126 | 4 | 2 | 237 | 4.3 | 12 |
| Gambling | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0* | 33 |
| Commercialized Sex Offenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0.0* | 35 |
| Liquor | 19 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 27 | 0.5 | 24 |
| Drunkenness | 135 | 232 | 5 | 3 | 240 | 4.4 | 11 |
| Obstructing the Police | 79 | 95 | 1 | 10 | 185 | 3.3 | 14 |

TABLE 8 (Continued)

**OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Offense Classification ¹ | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|--|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|--------------|----------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| Flight/Escape | 100 | 37 | 1 | 3 | 141 | 2.6 | 17 |
| Obstructing Justice | 26 | 18 | 2 | 8 | 54 | 1.0 | 22 |
| Bribery | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.1 | 30 |
| Weapon Offense | 57 | 98 | 2 | 4 | 161 | 2.9 | 15 |
| Public Peace | 31 | 40 | 1 | 6 | 78 | 1.4 | 20 |
| Traffic Offenses | 512 | 367 | 14 | 5 | 898 | 16.3 | 3 |
| Invasion of Privacy | 6 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 0.4 | 25 |
| Smuggling | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 0.1 | 29 |
| Anti-Trust | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Tax Revenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Conservation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 35 |
| Vagrancy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 35 |
| Crimes Against Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Property Crimes | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0.2 | 28 |
| Morals/Decency Crimes | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0* | 33 |
| Public Order Crimes | 73 | 38 | 5 | 8 | 124 | 2.2 | 18 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES³ | 4,487 | 4,892 | 276 | 263 | 9,783 | — | — |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS³ | 2,428 | 2,802 | 136 | 145 | 5,511 | — | — |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section G of the Appendix, pages 138-139.

² Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

³ All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.

* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 9

**OFFENSES OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED
DURING FY 1981**




TABLE 9

**MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Offense Classification ¹ | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| Homicide ³ | 103 | 174 | 10 | 17 | 304 | 5.5 | 6 |
| Kidnapping | 2 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0.2 | 24 |
| Sexual Assault | 18 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 0.6 | 19 |
| Robbery ⁴ | 122 | 275 | 3 | 9 | 409 | 7.4 | 5 |
| Assault | 98 | 186 | 6 | 13 | 303 | 5.5 | 7 |
| Abortion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Arson | 19 | 16 | 0 | 1 | 36 | 0.6 | 18 |
| Extortion | 1 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 0.2 | 24 |
| Burglary | 207 | 280 | 3 | 3 | 493 | 8.9 | 2 |
| Larceny | 688 | 780 | 18 | 37 | 1,523 | 27.6 | 1 |
| Stolen Vehicle | 74 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 161 | 2.9 | 10 |
| Forgery and Counterfeiting | 63 | 100 | 23 | 14 | 200 | 3.6 | 9 |
| Fraudulent Activities | 63 | 41 | 34 | 16 | 154 | 2.8 | 12 |
| Embezzlement | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0.0* | 31 |
| Stolen Property | 72 | 87 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 2.9 | 11 |
| Damage to Property | 34 | 30 | 1 | 2 | 67 | 1.2 | 17 |
| Dangerous Drugs | 261 | 170 | 18 | 10 | 459 | 8.3 | 3 |
| Sex Offenses | 48 | 73 | 0 | 1 | 122 | 2.2 | 13 |
| Obscene Materials | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.1 | 29 |
| Family Offenses | 88 | 111 | 4 | 2 | 205 | 3.7 | 8 |
| Gambling | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0* | 33 |
| Commercialized Sex Offenses | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 34 |
| Liquor | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.1 | 26 |
| Drunkenness | 80 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 121 | 2.2 | 14 |
| Obstructing the Police | 38 | 47 | 0 | 7 | 92 | 1.7 | 15 |
| Flight/Escape | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0.4 | 22 |

TABLE 9 (Continued)

| Offense Classification ¹ | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| Obstructing Justice | 11 | 7 | 1 | 4 | 23 | 0.4 | 21 |
| Bribery | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0.1 | 28 |
| Weapon Offense | 27 | 40 | 1 | 2 | 70 | 1.3 | 16 |
| Public Peace | 9 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 20 | 0.4 | 22 |
| Traffic Offenses | 253 | 185 | 6 | 1 | 445 | 8.1 | 4 |
| Invasion of Privacy | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.1 | 27 |
| Smuggling | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0.0* | 31 |
| Anti-Trust | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Tax Revenue | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Conservation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 34 |
| Crimes Against Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Property Crimes | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.1 | 29 |
| Morals/Decency Crimes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 34 |
| Public Order Crimes | 20 | 12 | 2 | 1 | 35 | 0.6 | 19 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES | 2,428 | 2,802 | 136 | 145 | 5,511 | — | — |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS | 2,428 | 2,802 | 136 | 145 | 5,511 | — | — |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section G of the Appendix, pages 138-139.

² Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

³ Of the total number of inmates sentenced for homicide, 44 (14.5%) were under the mandatory 20-year parole eligibility act. Details of this act are given in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

⁴ Of those inmates who were convicted of robbery, 170 (41.6%) were sentenced under the Armed Robbery Act of 1975, a description of which is contained in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 10

MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981




TABLE 10

**SENTENCE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Sentence Length | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|--|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ |
| YOA | 496 | 20.4 | 438 | 15.6 | 21 | 15.4 | 18 | 12.4 | 973 | 17.6 |
| 3 Months or Less | 130 | 5.4 | 99 | 3.5 | 5 | 3.7 | 8 | 5.5 | 242 | 4.4 |
| 3 Months 1 Day — 1 Year | 387 | 15.9 | 461 | 16.4 | 32 | 23.5 | 26 | 17.9 | 906 | 16.4 |
| 1 Year | 190 | 7.8 | 238 | 8.5 | 14 | 10.3 | 17 | 11.7 | 459 | 8.3 |
| 1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years | 273 | 11.2 | 290 | 10.3 | 21 | 15.4 | 20 | 13.8 | 604 | 11.0 |
| 2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years | 221 | 9.1 | 290 | 10.3 | 8 | 5.9 | 18 | 12.4 | 537 | 9.7 |
| 3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years | 93 | 3.8 | 80 | 2.8 | 6 | 4.4 | 3 | 2.1 | 182 | 3.3 |
| 4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years | 144 | 5.9 | 166 | 5.9 | 12 | 8.8 | 6 | 4.1 | 328 | 6.0 |
| 5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years | 75 | 3.1 | 105 | 3.7 | 4 | 2.9 | 7 | 4.8 | 191 | 3.5 |
| 6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years | 34 | 1.4 | 51 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.7 | 4 | 2.8 | 90 | 1.6 |
| 7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years | 27 | 1.1 | 38 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.4 | 67 | 1.2 |
| 8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years | 43 | 1.7 | 49 | 1.7 | 3 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 95 | 1.7 |
| 9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years | 71 | 2.9 | 104 | 3.7 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 2.8 | 181 | 3.3 |
| 10 Years 1 Day — 20 Years | 143 | 5.9 | 222 | 7.9 | 4 | 2.9 | 5 | 3.4 | 374 | 6.8 |
| 20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years | 57 | 2.3 | 103 | 3.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 3 | 2.1 | 164 | 3.0 |
| Over 30 Years | 11 | 0.4 | 19 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 0.5 |
| Life ² | 27 | 1.1 | 44 | 1.6 | 2 | 1.5 | 4 | 2.8 | 77 | 1.4 |
| Death | 6 | 0.2 | 5 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 2,428 | 99.6 | 2,802 | 99.7 | 136 | 99.8 | 145 | 100.0 | 5,511 | 99.9 |
| Average Sentence Length ³ | 4 yrs. 8 mos. | | 5 yrs. 6 mos. | | 2 yrs. 11 mos. | | 3 yrs. 8 mos. | | 5 yrs. | |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

² Out of 77 inmates in this sentence category, 33 are eligible for parole after serving 10 years and 44 after serving 20 years.

³ This average does not include life, death and YOA sentences.

FIGURE 11

**SENTENCE LENGTHS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED
DURING FY 1981**




TABLE 11

**AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 — JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Age | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|-----------------------|--------|---------|-----------|---------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ |
| Under 17 | 5 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 14 | 0.2 |
| 17-19 | 478 | 19.7 | 492 | 17.6 | 17 | 12.5 | 24 | 16.6 | 1,011 | 18.3 |
| 20-24 | 743 | 30.6 | 866 | 30.9 | 38 | 27.9 | 45 | 31.0 | 1,692 | 30.7 |
| 25-29 | 418 | 17.2 | 592 | 21.1 | 26 | 19.1 | 40 | 27.6 | 1,076 | 19.5 |
| 30-34 | 256 | 10.5 | 409 | 14.6 | 22 | 16.2 | 18 | 12.4 | 705 | 12.8 |
| 35-39 | 165 | 6.8 | 174 | 6.2 | 11 | 8.1 | 6 | 4.1 | 356 | 6.4 |
| 40-44 | 120 | 4.9 | 114 | 4.1 | 10 | 7.4 | 7 | 4.8 | 251 | 4.6 |
| 45-49 | 101 | 4.2 | 65 | 2.3 | 7 | 5.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 175 | 3.2 |
| 50-54 | 73 | 3.0 | 28 | 1.0 | 4 | 2.9 | 1 | 0.7 | 106 | 1.9 |
| 55-59 | 33 | 1.4 | 26 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 60 | 1.1 |
| 60-64 | 22 | 0.9 | 20 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 43 | 0.8 |
| 65-69 | 12 | 0.5 | 6 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 18 | 0.3 |
| 70 & Over . . . | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| TOTAL | 2,428 | 100.0 | 2,802 | 100.0 | 136 | 99.9 | 145 | 99.9 | 5,511 | 99.9 |
| Special Age Groupings | | | | | | | | | | |
| 17 | 130 | | 118 | | 1 | | 3 | | 252 | |
| 18 and Over . | 2,293 | | 2,676 | | 135 | | 141 | | 5,245 | |
| 21 and Over . | 1,786 | | 2,111 | | 112 | | 111 | | 4,120 | |
| 24 and Under | 1,226 | | 1,366 | | 55 | | 70 | | 2,717 | |
| 62 and Over . | 19 | | 17 | | 0 | | 1 | | 37 | |
| 65 and Over . | 14 | | 8 | | 0 | | 0 | | 22 | |
| Average Age . | 28 | | 27 | | 29 | | 26 | | 27 | |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 12
AGE GROUPS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED
DURING FY 1981




TABLE 12

**DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED
DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 - JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Planning Districts ¹ | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ² |
| I. Appalachian | 1,042 | 42.9 | 766 | 27.3 | 64 | 47.0 | 38 | 26.2 | 1,910 | 34.6 |
| II. Upper Savannah | 114 | 4.7 | 179 | 6.4 | 3 | 2.2 | 6 | 4.1 | 302 | 5.5 |
| III. Catawba | 174 | 7.2 | 215 | 7.7 | 9 | 6.6 | 13 | 9.0 | 411 | 7.4 |
| IV. Central Midlands | 222 | 9.1 | 392 | 14.0 | 21 | 15.4 | 36 | 24.8 | 671 | 12.2 |
| V. Lower Savannah | 124 | 5.1 | 238 | 8.5 | 10 | 7.4 | 12 | 8.3 | 384 | 7.0 |
| VI. Santee-Wateree | 106 | 4.4 | 182 | 6.5 | 5 | 3.7 | 13 | 9.0 | 306 | 5.6 |
| VII. Pee Dee | 253 | 10.4 | 322 | 11.5 | 7 | 5.1 | 6 | 4.1 | 588 | 10.7 |
| VIII. Waccamaw | 141 | 5.8 | 156 | 5.6 | 9 | 6.6 | 6 | 4.1 | 312 | 5.7 |
| IX. Tri-County | 183 | 7.5 | 270 | 9.6 | 8 | 5.9 | 11 | 7.6 | 472 | 8.6 |
| X. Low Country | 69 | 2.8 | 82 | 2.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 2.8 | 155 | 2.8 |
| Out-of-State | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 2,428 | 99.9 | 2,802 | 100.0 | 136 | 99.9 | 145 | 100.0 | 5,511 | 100.1 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Section E of the Appendix, page 136.

² Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 13

COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED
DURING FY 1981




TABLE 13

**DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SCDC INMATES ADMITTED
DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 - JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Judicial Circuits ¹ | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|--------|---------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² |
| 1 | 85 | 3.5 | 133 | 4.7 | 6 | 4.4 | 10 | 6.9 | 234 | 4.2 |
| 2 | 70 | 2.9 | 107 | 3.8 | 3 | 2.2 | 3 | 2.1 | 183 | 3.3 |
| 3 | 97 | 4.0 | 182 | 6.5 | 5 | 3.7 | 13 | 9.0 | 297 | 5.4 |
| 4 | 123 | 5.1 | 156 | 5.6 | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 1.4 | 282 | 5.1 |
| 5 | 116 | 4.8 | 311 | 11.0 | 9 | 6.6 | 28 | 19.3 | 464 | 8.4 |
| 6 | 57 | 2.3 | 85 | 3.0 | 5 | 3.7 | 1 | 0.7 | 148 | 2.7 |
| 7 | 323 | 13.3 | 294 | 10.5 | 18 | 13.2 | 13 | 9.0 | 648 | 11.8 |
| 8 | 119 | 4.9 | 157 | 5.6 | 4 | 2.9 | 6 | 4.1 | 286 | 5.2 |
| 9 | 147 | 6.0 | 237 | 8.4 | 8 | 5.9 | 9 | 6.2 | 401 | 7.3 |
| 10 | 158 | 6.5 | 53 | 1.9 | 7 | 5.1 | 2 | 1.4 | 220 | 4.0 |
| 11 | 107 | 4.4 | 114 | 4.1 | 11 | 8.1 | 9 | 6.2 | 241 | 4.4 |
| 12 | 130 | 5.4 | 166 | 5.9 | 6 | 4.4 | 4 | 2.8 | 306 | 5.6 |
| 13 | 561 | 23.1 | 419 | 15.0 | 39 | 28.7 | 23 | 15.9 | 1,042 | 18.9 |
| 14 | 74 | 3.0 | 113 | 4.0 | 1 | 0.7 | 5 | 3.4 | 193 | 3.5 |
| 15 | 135 | 5.6 | 122 | 4.4 | 9 | 6.6 | 5 | 3.4 | 271 | 4.9 |
| 16 | 126 | 5.2 | 153 | 5.5 | 4 | 2.9 | 12 | 8.3 | 295 | 5.4 |
| Out-of-State | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL | 2,428 | 100.0 | 2,802 | 99.9 | 136 | 99.8 | 145 | 100.1 | 5,511 | 100.1 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Section F of the Appendix, page 137.

² Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 14
COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SCDC
INMATES ADMITTED DURING FY 1981




FIGURE 15
RACE AND SEX OF SCDC INMATES,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 14

**DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING COUNTY AND CORRECTIONAL REGION OF SCDC TOTAL
INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Committing County | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | | |
|-----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | | |
| | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Rank ² |
| APPALACHIAN | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CORRECTIONAL REGION | 1,449 | 44.0 | 1,360 | 29.0 | 90 | 55.1 | 68 | 36.3 | 2,967 | 35.4 | — |
| Abbeville | 22 | 0.6 | 35 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 58 | 0.7 | 35 |
| Anderson | 187 | 5.7 | 101 | 2.1 | 8 | 4.9 | 5 | 2.7 | 301 | 3.6 | 8 |
| Cherokee | 107 | 3.2 | 70 | 1.5 | 6 | 3.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 185 | 2.2 | 15 |
| Edgefield | 7 | 0.2 | 50 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 58 | 0.7 | 35 |
| Greenville | 479 | 14.6 | 469 | 10.0 | 32 | 19.6 | 22 | 11.8 | 1,002 | 12.0 | 1 |
| Greenwood | 53 | 1.6 | 128 | 2.7 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 2.1 | 188 | 2.2 | 14 |
| Laurens | 57 | 1.7 | 50 | 1.1 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 2.1 | 114 | 1.4 | 21 |
| McCormick | 3 | 0.1 | 15 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 1.6 | 21 | 0.2 | 46 |
| Oconee | 84 | 2.6 | 19 | 0.4 | 2 | 1.2 | 2 | 1.1 | 107 | 1.3 | 22 |
| Pickens | 155 | 4.7 | 55 | 1.2 | 13 | 8.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 225 | 2.7 | 11 |
| Saluda | 6 | 0.2 | 22 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 29 | 0.3 | 44 |
| Spartanburg | 289 | 8.8 | 346 | 7.4 | 23 | 14.1 | 21 | 11.2 | 679 | 8.1 | 4 |
| MIDLANDS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CORRECTIONAL REGION | 914 | 27.6 | 1,775 | 37.7 | 43 | 26.2 | 75 | 40.0 | 2,807 | 33.5 | — |
| Aiken | 78 | 2.4 | 107 | 2.3 | 5 | 3.1 | 1 | 0.5 | 191 | 2.3 | 12 |
| Allendale | 3 | 0.1 | 38 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 43 | 0.5 | 39 |
| Bamberg | 14 | 0.4 | 43 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 58 | 0.7 | 35 |
| Barnwell | 12 | 0.4 | 24 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 37 | 0.4 | 42 |
| Calhoun | 7 | 0.2 | 22 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 30 | 0.4 | 43 |
| Chester | 30 | 0.9 | 60 | 1.3 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 92 | 1.1 | 28 |
| Clarendon | 22 | 0.7 | 56 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 2.1 | 83 | 1.0 | 30 |
| Fairfield | 14 | 0.4 | 37 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 51 | 0.6 | 38 |
| Kershaw | 31 | 0.9 | 67 | 1.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 100 | 1.2 | 25 |
| Lancaster | 58 | 1.8 | 59 | 1.2 | 2 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 119 | 1.4 | 18 |

TABLE 14 (Continued)

| Committing County | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | | |
|---------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | | |
| | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Rank ² |
| Lee | 8 | 0.2 | 33 | 0.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 42 | 0.5 | 40 |
| Lexington | 92 | 2.8 | 89 | 1.9 | 6 | 3.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 189 | 2.3 | 13 |
| Newberry | 27 | 0.8 | 69 | 1.5 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 2.1 | 103 | 1.2 | 24 |
| Orangeburg | 64 | 1.9 | 148 | 3.1 | 7 | 4.3 | 9 | 4.8 | 228 | 2.7 | 9 |
| Richland | 178 | 5.4 | 525 | 11.2 | 6 | 3.7 | 28 | 15.0 | 737 | 8.8 | 2 |
| Sumter | 77 | 2.3 | 143 | 3.0 | 3 | 1.8 | 4 | 2.1 | 227 | 2.7 | 10 |
| Union | 28 | 0.8 | 42 | 0.9 | 3 | 1.8 | 3 | 1.6 | 76 | 0.9 | 33 |
| York | 171 | 5.2 | 213 | 4.5 | 4 | 2.4 | 13 | 7.0 | 401 | 4.8 | 5 |
| COASTAL | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CORRECTIONAL REGION | 916 | 27.7 | 1,560 | 33.2 | 30 | 18.2 | 44 | 23.5 | 2,550 | 30.5 | — |
| Beaufort | 52 | 1.6 | 75 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 129 | 1.5 | 17 |
| Berkeley | 58 | 1.8 | 37 | 0.8 | 2 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 98 | 1.2 | 26 |
| Charleston | 181 | 5.5 | 501 | 10.6 | 8 | 4.9 | 17 | 9.1 | 707 | 8.5 | 3 |
| Chesterfield | 25 | 0.8 | 61 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.1 | 89 | 1.1 | 29 |
| Colleton | 31 | 0.9 | 50 | 1.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 81 | 1.0 | 31 |
| Darlington | 49 | 1.5 | 85 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.5 | 136 | 1.6 | 16 |
| Dillon | 34 | 1.0 | 41 | 0.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 77 | 0.9 | 32 |
| Dorchester | 57 | 1.7 | 56 | 1.2 | 2 | 1.2 | 1 | 0.5 | 116 | 1.4 | 20 |
| Florence | 128 | 3.9 | 177 | 3.8 | 4 | 2.4 | 8 | 4.3 | 317 | 3.8 | 7 |
| Georgetown | 29 | 0.9 | 76 | 1.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 105 | 1.2 | 23 |
| Hampton | 9 | 0.3 | 17 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 26 | 0.3 | 45 |
| Horry | 175 | 5.3 | 156 | 3.3 | 10 | 6.1 | 6 | 3.2 | 347 | 4.2 | 6 |
| Jasper | 15 | 0.4 | 22 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.1 | 40 | 0.5 | 41 |
| Marion | 34 | 1.0 | 84 | 1.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 119 | 1.4 | 18 |
| Marlboro | 31 | 0.9 | 63 | 1.3 | 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 95 | 1.1 | 27 |
| Williamsburg | 8 | 0.2 | 59 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 68 | 0.8 | 34 |
| OUT-OF-STATE | 11 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.2 | — |
| TOTAL | 3,290 | 99.6 | 4,705 | 100.1 | 163 | 99.5 | 187 | 99.8 | 8,345 | 99.6 | — |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.² Ranking is in descending order according to number of commitments; the county having the largest number of total commitments is ranked number one.

FIGURE 16

COMMITTING COUNTIES AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS OF SCDC
INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 15

**TYPE OF OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Offense Classification ¹ | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| Homicide | 474 | 834 | 42 | 65 | 1,415 | 17.0 | 4 |
| Kidnapping | 33 | 32 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 0.8 | 21 |
| Sexual Assault | 137 | 254 | 1 | 0 | 392 | 4.7 | 11 |
| Robbery | 657 | 1,553 | 26 | 40 | 2,276 | 27.3 | 2 |
| Assault | 376 | 728 | 10 | 24 | 1,138 | 13.6 | 5 |
| Abortion | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Arson | 58 | 26 | 2 | 0 | 86 | 1.0 | 20 |
| Extortion | 6 | 8 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 0.2 | 27 |
| Burglary | 709 | 920 | 8 | 7 | 1,644 | 19.7 | 3 |
| Larceny | 1,812 | 1,855 | 41 | 64 | 3,772 | 45.2 | 1 |
| Stolen Vehicle | 223 | 227 | 2 | 1 | 453 | 5.4 | 10 |
| Forgery and Counterfeiting | 232 | 274 | 33 | 37 | 576 | 6.9 | 9 |
| Fraudulent Activities | 117 | 98 | 69 | 21 | 305 | 3.6 | 14 |
| Embezzlement | 5 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 0.1 | 30 |
| Stolen Property | 122 | 200 | 0 | 3 | 325 | 3.9 | 13 |
| Damage to Property | 84 | 64 | 1 | 2 | 151 | 1.8 | 18 |
| Dangerous Drugs | 533 | 413 | 36 | 18 | 1,000 | 12.0 | 6 |
| Sex Offenses | 123 | 165 | 1 | 1 | 290 | 3.5 | 15 |
| Obscene Materials | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Family Offenses | 59 | 55 | 3 | 2 | 119 | 1.4 | 19 |
| Gambling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Commercialized Sex Offenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Liquor | 10 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 0.2 | 28 |
| Drunkenness | 20 | 25 | 2 | 0 | 47 | 0.6 | 22 |
| Obstructing the Police | 76 | 86 | 1 | 6 | 169 | 2.0 | 17 |
| Flight/Escape | 429 | 249 | 11 | 9 | 698 | 8.4 | 7 |
| Obstructing Justice | 22 | 17 | 0 | 1 | 40 | 0.5 | 23 |
| Bribery | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0.1 | 30 |

TABLE 15 (Continued)
TYPE OF OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE
POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

| Offense Classification ¹ | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|---|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ² |
| Weapon Offense | 114 | 204 | 6 | 5 | 329 | 3.9 | 12 |
| Public Peace | 10 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0.3 | 26 |
| Traffic Offenses | 358 | 214 | 6 | 3 | 581 | 7.0 | 8 |
| Invasion of Privacy | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0.1 | 29 |
| Smuggling | 18 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0.4 | 24 |
| Anti-Trust | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Tax Revenue | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0* | 32 |
| Conservation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 33 |
| Vagrancy | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Crimes Against Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Property Crimes | 18 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0.3 | 25 |
| Morals/Decency Crimes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 33 |
| Public Order Crimes | 157 | 113 | 4 | 7 | 281 | 3.4 | 16 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES ³ | 7,003 | 8,666 | 310 | 319 | 16,298 | — | — |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ³ | 3,290 | 4,705 | 163 | 187 | 8,345 | — | — |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section G of the Appendix, pages 138-139.

² Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

³ All offenses committed by an inmate are counted; therefore, because of multiple offenses for some inmates, the total number of offenses exceeds the total number of inmates.

* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 17

**OFFENSES OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**




TABLE 16

**MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Offense Classification | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|-----------------------------------|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ¹ |
| Homicide | 435 | 773 | 43 | 64 | 1,315 | 15.8 | 3 |
| Kidnapping | 28 | 27 | 1 | 1 | 57 | 0.7 | 19 |
| Sexual Assault | 103 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 303 | 3.6 | 7 |
| Robbery | 456 | 1,031 | 18 | 28 | 1,533 | 18.4 | 2 |
| Assault | 174 | 345 | 8 | 14 | 541 | 6.5 | 5 |
| Arson | 30 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 0.5 | 20 |
| Extortion | 1 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 0.1 | 24 |
| Burglary | 300 | 418 | 4 | 3 | 725 | 8.7 | 4 |
| Larceny | 846 | 929 | 17 | 32 | 1,824 | 21.8 | 1 |
| Stolen Vehicle | 90 | 94 | 1 | 0 | 185 | 2.2 | 11 |
| Forgery and Counterfeiting | 79 | 114 | 18 | 16 | 227 | 2.7 | 8 |
| Fraudulent Activities | 39 | 29 | 23 | 7 | 98 | 1.2 | 13 |
| Embezzlement | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 29 |
| Stolen Property | 64 | 108 | 0 | 1 | 173 | 2.1 | 12 |
| Damage to Property | 27 | 28 | 1 | 2 | 58 | 0.7 | 18 |
| Dangerous Drugs | 217 | 194 | 20 | 9 | 440 | 5.3 | 6 |
| Sex Offenses | 96 | 130 | 0 | 1 | 227 | 2.7 | 8 |
| Obscene Materials | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Family Offenses | 31 | 42 | 2 | 2 | 77 | 0.9 | 15 |
| Gambling | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Commercialized Sex Offenses | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Liquor | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0.0* | 28 |
| Drunkenness | 10 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 23 | 0.3 | 21 |
| Obstructing the Police | 31 | 37 | 0 | 3 | 71 | 0.8 | 16 |

TABLE 16 (Continued)

| Offense Classification | Male | | Female | | Total | | |
|---|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|---------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | Number | Percent | Rank ¹ |
| Flight/Escape | 10 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0.2 | 22 |
| Obstructing Justice | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0.1 | 23 |
| Bribery | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.1 | 25 |
| Weapon Offense | 23 | 35 | 1 | 2 | 61 | 0.7 | 17 |
| Public Peace | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0.1 | 25 |
| Traffic Offenses | 136 | 84 | 1 | 1 | 222 | 2.7 | 10 |
| Health/Safety | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Invasion of Privacy | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 29 |
| Smuggling | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 29 |
| Anti-Trust | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Tax Revenue | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 29 |
| Conservation | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.0* | 29 |
| Crimes Against Persons | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Property Crimes | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0.0* | 27 |
| Morals/Decency Crimes | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0 | — |
| Public Order Crimes | 44 | 41 | 2 | 0 | 87 | 1.0 | 14 |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENSES ³ | 3,290 | 4,705 | 163 | 187 | 8,345 | — | — |
| TOTAL NUMBER OF OFFENDERS ³ | 3,290 | 4,705 | 163 | 187 | 8,345 | — | — |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ An elaboration of these offenses is included in Section G of the Appendix, pages 138-139.

² Ranking is in descending order according to offense; the offense category with the largest total number is ranked number one.

³ Of the total number of inmates sentenced for homicide, 197 (15.0%) were under the mandatory 20-year parole eligibility act. Details of this act are given in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

⁴ Of those inmates who were convicted of robbery, 845 (55.1%) were sentenced under the Armed Robbery Act of 1975, a description of which is contained in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 18

MOST SERIOUS OFFENSE OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 17

**SENTENCE LENGTH DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Sentence Length | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|--|----------------|----------------------|----------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ |
| YOA | 407 | 12.4 | 376 | 8.0 | 25 | 15.3 | 14 | 7.5 | 822 | 9.8 |
| 3 Months or Less | 15 | 0.4 | 12 | 0.2 | 2 | 1.2 | 2 | 1.1 | 31 | 0.4 |
| 3 Months 1 Day — 1 Year | 99 | 3.0 | 140 | 3.0 | 8 | 4.9 | 6 | 3.2 | 253 | 3.0 |
| 1 Year | 88 | 2.7 | 136 | 2.9 | 8 | 4.9 | 7 | 3.7 | 239 | 2.9 |
| 1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years | 199 | 6.0 | 223 | 4.7 | 16 | 9.8 | 15 | 8.0 | 453 | 5.4 |
| 2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years | 251 | 7.6 | 348 | 7.4 | 8 | 4.9 | 18 | 9.6 | 625 | 7.5 |
| 3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years | 139 | 4.2 | 129 | 2.7 | 6 | 3.7 | 6 | 3.2 | 280 | 3.4 |
| 4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years | 236 | 7.2 | 288 | 6.1 | 13 | 8.0 | 11 | 5.9 | 548 | 6.6 |
| 5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years | 165 | 5.0 | 222 | 4.7 | 10 | 6.1 | 15 | 8.0 | 412 | 4.9 |
| 6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years | 85 | 2.6 | 122 | 2.6 | 3 | 1.8 | 8 | 4.3 | 218 | 2.6 |
| 7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years | 65 | 2.0 | 91 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 3.2 | 162 | 1.9 |
| 8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years | 102 | 3.1 | 137 | 2.9 | 5 | 3.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 244 | 2.9 |
| 9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years | 210 | 6.4 | 351 | 7.5 | 8 | 4.9 | 13 | 7.0 | 582 | 7.0 |
| 10 Years 1 Day — 20 Years | 538 | 16.4 | 875 | 18.6 | 24 | 14.7 | 35 | 18.7 | 1,472 | 17.6 |
| 20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years | 341 | 10.4 | 681 | 14.5 | 10 | 6.1 | 14 | 7.5 | 1,046 | 12.5 |
| Over 30 Years | 87 | 2.6 | 187 | 4.0 | 1 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.5 | 276 | 3.3 |
| Life ² | 250 | 7.6 | 379 | 8.0 | 16 | 9.8 | 16 | 8.6 | 661 | 7.9 |
| Death | 13 | 0.3 | 8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL..... | 3,290 | 99.9 | 4,705 | 99.9 | 163 | 99.8 | 187 | 100.0 | 8,345 | 99.8 |
| Average Sentence Length ³ | 11 Yrs. 6 Mos. | | 12 Yrs. 8 Mos. | | 8 Yrs. 2 Mos. | | 9 Yrs. 2 Mos. | | 12 Yrs. 1 Mo. | |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

² Out of 661 inmates in this sentence category, 464 are eligible for parole after serving 10 years and 197 after serving 20 years.

³ This average does not include life, death and YOA sentences.

FIGURE 19
SENTENCE LENGTHS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 18

AGE DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

| Age ¹ | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ² |
| Under 17 | 4 | 0.1 | 3 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 8 | 0.1 |
| 17-19 | 354 | 10.8 | 386 | 8.2 | 15 | 9.2 | 21 | 11.2 | 776 | 9.3 |
| 20-24 | 1,014 | 30.8 | 1,363 | 29.0 | 42 | 25.8 | 46 | 24.6 | 2,465 | 29.5 |
| 25-29 | 742 | 22.6 | 1,280 | 27.2 | 31 | 19.0 | 59 | 31.6 | 2,112 | 25.3 |
| 30-34 | 440 | 13.4 | 868 | 18.4 | 26 | 16.0 | 25 | 13.4 | 1,359 | 16.3 |
| 35-39 | 283 | 8.6 | 348 | 7.4 | 20 | 12.3 | 11 | 5.9 | 662 | 7.9 |
| 40-44 | 171 | 5.2 | 178 | 3.8 | 12 | 7.4 | 10 | 5.3 | 371 | 4.4 |
| 45-49 | 133 | 4.0 | 117 | 2.5 | 10 | 6.1 | 10 | 5.3 | 270 | 3.2 |
| 50-54 | 74 | 2.2 | 64 | 1.4 | 6 | 3.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 144 | 1.7 |
| 55-59 | 26 | 0.8 | 42 | 0.9 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.1 | 71 | 0.8 |
| 60-64 | 28 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 61 | 0.7 |
| 65-69 | 13 | 0.4 | 17 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 30 | 0.4 |
| 70 & Over . . . | 8 | 0.2 | 8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 16 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 3,290 | 99.9 | 4,705 | 100.1 | 163 | 100.1 | 187 | 100.0 | 8,345 | 99.8 |

Special Age Groupings

| | | | | | |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| 17 | 43 | 52 | 1 | 2 | 98 |
| 18 and Over | 3,243 | 4,650 | 162 | 184 | 8,239 |
| 21 and Over | 2,746 | 4,053 | 141 | 157 | 7,097 |
| 24 and Under | 1,372 | 1,752 | 57 | 68 | 3,249 |
| 62 and Over | 30 | 41 | 0 | 1 | 72 |
| 65 and Over | 21 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 46 |
| Average Age | 29 | 28 | 30 | 29 | 29 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ This distribution reflects the age of inmates as of June 30, 1981.² Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 20
AGE GROUPS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 19

**AGE AT TIME OF ADMISSION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Age At Time of Admission | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|---------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ |
| Under 17 | 14 | 0.4 | 24 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 2 | 1.1 | 40 | 0.5 |
| 17-19 | 677 | 20.6 | 998 | 21.2 | 24 | 14.7 | 31 | 16.6 | 1,730 | 20.7 |
| 20-24 | 1,105 | 33.6 | 1,595 | 33.9 | 42 | 25.8 | 57 | 30.5 | 2,799 | 33.5 |
| 25-29 | 584 | 17.8 | 998 | 21.2 | 30 | 18.4 | 49 | 26.2 | 1,661 | 19.9 |
| 30-34 | 341 | 10.4 | 535 | 11.4 | 24 | 14.7 | 21 | 11.2 | 921 | 11.0 |
| 35-39 | 215 | 6.5 | 230 | 4.9 | 19 | 11.6 | 8 | 4.3 | 472 | 5.6 |
| 40-44 | 152 | 4.6 | 141 | 3.0 | 13 | 8.0 | 11 | 5.9 | 317 | 3.8 |
| 45-49 | 102 | 3.1 | 85 | 1.8 | 6 | 3.7 | 4 | 2.1 | 197 | 2.4 |
| 50-54 | 46 | 1.4 | 36 | 0.8 | 4 | 2.4 | 1 | 0.5 | 87 | 1.0 |
| 55-59 | 27 | 0.8 | 31 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.6 | 2 | 1.1 | 61 | 0.7 |
| 60-64 | 15 | 0.4 | 21 | 0.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 37 | 0.4 |
| 65-69 | 8 | 0.2 | 9 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 17 | 0.2 |
| 70 & Over . . . | 4 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.0* | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 6 | 0.1 |
| TOTAL | 3,290 | 99.9 | 4,705 | 99.9 | 163 | 99.9 | 187 | 100.0 | 8,345 | 99.8 |

Special Age Groupings

| | | | | | |
|---------------|-------|-------|-----|-----|-------|
| 17 | 165 | 241 | 4 | 5 | 415 |
| 18 and Over . | 3,111 | 4,440 | 159 | 180 | 7,890 |
| 21 and Over . | 2,340 | 3,340 | 131 | 143 | 5,954 |
| 24 and Under | 1,796 | 2,617 | 66 | 88 | 4,569 |
| 62 and Over . | 16 | 22 | 0 | 1 | 39 |
| 65 and Over . | 12 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Average Age . | 27 | 26 | 29 | 27 | 26 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management.

¹ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 21
AGE AT TIME OF ADMISSION OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 20

**CUSTODY GRADE DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING REGION, RACE AND
SEX OF SCDC INMATES, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Custody Grade | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|--------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ |
| Appalachian Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA Trusty | 119 | 8.2 | 111 | 8.2 | 21 | 23.3 | 17 | 25.0 | 268 | 9.0 |
| A Trusty | 675 | 46.6 | 668 | 49.1 | 38 | 42.2 | 23 | 33.8 | 1,404 | 47.3 |
| B Medium | 389 | 26.8 | 427 | 31.4 | 27 | 30.0 | 23 | 33.8 | 866 | 29.2 |
| C Close | 216 | 14.9 | 127 | 9.3 | 4 | 4.4 | 5 | 7.4 | 352 | 11.9 |
| M Maximum | 42 | 2.9 | 26 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 68 | 2.3 |
| Protective | 8 | 0.6 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.3 |
| TOTAL..... | 1,449 | 100.0 | 1,360 | 100.0 | 90 | 99.9 | 68 | 100.0 | 2,967 | 100.0 |
| Midlands Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA Trusty | 119 | 13.0 | 261 | 14.7 | 12 | 27.9 | 16 | 21.3 | 408 | 14.5 |
| A Trusty | 344 | 37.6 | 668 | 37.6 | 13 | 30.2 | 20 | 26.7 | 1,045 | 37.2 |
| B Medium | 279 | 30.5 | 597 | 33.6 | 14 | 32.6 | 30 | 40.0 | 920 | 32.8 |
| C Close | 132 | 14.4 | 198 | 11.2 | 4 | 9.3 | 9 | 12.0 | 343 | 12.2 |
| M Maximum | 34 | 3.7 | 48 | 2.7 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 82 | 2.9 |
| Protective | 6 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 9 | 0.3 |
| TOTAL..... | 914 | 99.8 | 1,775 | 100.0 | 43 | 100.0 | 75 | 100.0 | 2,807 | 99.9 |
| Coastal Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA Trusty | 128 | 14.0 | 238 | 15.2 | 8 | 26.6 | 9 | 20.4 | 383 | 15.0 |
| A Trusty | 386 | 42.1 | 671 | 43.0 | 12 | 40.0 | 14 | 31.8 | 1,083 | 42.5 |
| B Medium | 247 | 27.0 | 505 | 32.4 | 7 | 23.3 | 18 | 40.9 | 777 | 30.5 |
| C Close | 127 | 13.9 | 107 | 6.8 | 3 | 10.0 | 3 | 6.8 | 240 | 9.4 |
| M Maximum | 21 | 2.3 | 35 | 2.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 56 | 2.2 |
| Protective | 7 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 0.4 |
| TOTAL..... | 916 | 100.1 | 1,560 | 99.9 | 30 | 99.9 | 44 | 99.9 | 2,550 | 100.0 |

TABLE 20 (Continued)

**CUSTODY GRADE DISTRIBUTION BY COMMITTING REGION, RACE AND
SEX OF SCDC INMATES, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Custody Grade | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ |
| Out-of-State | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA Trusty | 3 | 27.3 | 2 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 23.8 |
| A Trusty | 1 | 9.1 | 2 | 20.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 3 | 14.3 |
| B Medium | 5 | 45.4 | 6 | 60.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 11 | 52.4 |
| C Close | 1 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.8 |
| M Maximum | 1 | 9.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 4.8 |
| Protective | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| TOTAL..... | 11 | 100.0 | 10 | 100.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 100.1 |
| SCDC Total | | | | | | | | | | |
| AA Trusty | 369 | 11.2 | 612 | 13.0 | 41 | 25.2 | 42 | 22.4 | 1,064 | 12.8 |
| A Trusty | 1,406 | 42.7 | 2,009 | 42.7 | 63 | 38.6 | 57 | 30.5 | 3,535 | 42.4 |
| B Medium | 920 | 28.0 | 1,535 | 32.6 | 48 | 29.4 | 71 | 38.0 | 2,574 | 30.8 |
| C Close | 476 | 14.5 | 432 | 9.2 | 11 | 6.7 | 17 | 9.1 | 936 | 11.2 |
| M Maximum | 98 | 3.0 | 109 | 2.3 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 207 | 2.5 |
| Protective | 21 | 0.6 | 8 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 29 | 0.3 |
| TOTAL..... | 3,290 | 100.0 | 4,705 | 100.0 | 163 | 99.9 | 187 | 100.0 | 8,345 | 100.0 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ Percentage distribution may not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 22

CUSTODY GRADES OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE
POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 21
COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDL TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

| Planning Districts ¹ | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|---------------------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² |
| I. Appalachian | 1,301 | 39.5 | 1,061 | 22.6 | 84 | 51.5 | 54 | 28.9 | 2,500 | 30.0 |
| II. Upper Savannah | 148 | 4.5 | 300 | 6.4 | 6 | 3.7 | 14 | 7.5 | 468 | 5.6 |
| III. Catawba | 287 | 8.7 | 374 | 7.9 | 11 | 6.7 | 16 | 8.6 | 688 | 8.2 |
| IV. Central Midlands | 311 | 9.4 | 720 | 15.3 | 15 | 9.2 | 34 | 18.2 | 1,080 | 12.9 |
| V. Lower Savannah | 178 | 5.4 | 382 | 8.1 | 13 | 8.0 | 14 | 7.5 | 587 | 7.0 |
| VI. Santee-Wateree | 138 | 4.2 | 299 | 6.4 | 4 | 2.4 | 11 | 5.9 | 452 | 5.4 |
| VII. Pee Dee | 301 | 9.1 | 511 | 10.9 | 7 | 4.3 | 14 | 7.5 | 833 | 10.0 |
| VIII. Waccamaw | 212 | 6.4 | 291 | 6.2 | 10 | 6.1 | 7 | 3.7 | 520 | 6.2 |
| IX. Tri-County | 296 | 9.0 | 593 | 12.6 | 12 | 7.4 | 19 | 10.2 | 920 | 11.0 |
| X. Low Country | 107 | 3.2 | 164 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.6 | 4 | 2.1 | 276 | 3.3 |
| Out-of-State | 11 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 3,290 | 99.7 | 4,705 | 100.1 | 163 | 99.9 | 187 | 100.1 | 8,345 | 99.8 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ Counties comprising each planning district are listed in Section E, page 136, of the Appendix.

² Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 23

COMMITTING PLANNING DISTRICTS OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 22

**COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981**

| Judicial Circuits ¹ | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|--------------------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|-----------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² |
| 1 | 128 | 3.9 | 226 | 4.8 | 9 | 5.5 | 11 | 5.9 | 374 | 4.5 |
| 2 | 104 | 3.2 | 174 | 3.7 | 6 | 3.7 | 2 | 1.1 | 286 | 3.4 |
| 3 | 115 | 3.5 | 291 | 6.2 | 4 | 2.4 | 10 | 5.3 | 420 | 5.0 |
| 4 | 139 | 4.2 | 250 | 5.3 | 3 | 1.8 | 5 | 2.7 | 397 | 4.8 |
| 5 | 209 | 6.4 | 592 | 12.6 | 6 | 3.7 | 30 | 16.0 | 837 | 10.0 |
| 6 | 102 | 3.1 | 156 | 3.3 | 4 | 2.4 | 0 | 0.0 | 262 | 3.1 |
| 7 | 396 | 12.0 | 416 | 8.8 | 29 | 17.8 | 23 | 12.3 | 864 | 10.4 |
| 8 | 159 | 4.8 | 282 | 6.0 | 9 | 5.5 | 13 | 7.0 | 463 | 5.5 |
| 9 | 239 | 7.3 | 538 | 11.4 | 10 | 6.1 | 18 | 9.6 | 805 | 9.6 |
| 10 | 271 | 8.2 | 120 | 2.6 | 10 | 6.1 | 7 | 3.7 | 408 | 4.9 |
| 11 | 108 | 3.3 | 176 | 3.7 | 6 | 3.7 | 7 | 3.7 | 297 | 3.6 |
| 12 | 162 | 4.9 | 261 | 5.5 | 4 | 2.4 | 9 | 4.8 | 436 | 5.2 |
| 13 | 634 | 19.3 | 524 | 11.1 | 45 | 27.6 | 24 | 12.8 | 1,227 | 14.7 |
| 14 | 110 | 3.3 | 202 | 4.3 | 1 | 0.6 | 6 | 3.2 | 319 | 3.8 |
| 15 | 204 | 6.2 | 232 | 4.9 | 10 | 6.1 | 6 | 3.2 | 452 | 5.4 |
| 16 | 199 | 6.0 | 255 | 5.4 | 7 | 4.3 | 16 | 8.6 | 477 | 5.7 |
| Out-of-State | 11 | 0.3 | 10 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 21 | 0.2 |
| TOTAL | 3,290 | 99.9 | 4,705 | 99.8 | 163 | 99.7 | 187 | 99.9 | 8,345 | 99.8 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ The counties comprising each judicial circuit are listed in Section F, page 137, of the Appendix.

² Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

FIGURE 24

COMMITTING JUDICIAL CIRCUITS OF SCDC
TOTAL INMATE POPULATION,
AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 23

REMAINING TIME TO SERVE OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981

| Remaining Time To Serve ¹ | Male | | | | Female | | | | Total | |
|---|---------------|----------------------|---------------|---------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|--------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | | |
| | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² | Number | Percent ² |
| Youthful Offender (indeterminant sentence) | 432 | 13.1 | 399 | 8.5 | 27 | 16.6 | 15 | 8.0 | 873 | 10.5 |
| 3 Months or Less | 218 | 6.6 | 334 | 7.1 | 17 | 10.4 | 16 | 8.6 | 585 | 7.0 |
| 3 Months 1 Day — 6 Months | 199 | 6.0 | 262 | 5.6 | 9 | 5.5 | 11 | 5.9 | 481 | 5.8 |
| 6 Months 1 Day — 9 Months | 151 | 4.6 | 174 | 3.7 | 8 | 4.9 | 8 | 4.3 | 341 | 4.1 |
| 9 Months 1 Day — 12 Months | 128 | 3.9 | 165 | 3.5 | 9 | 5.5 | 10 | 5.3 | 312 | 3.7 |
| 1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years | 429 | 13.0 | 564 | 12.0 | 23 | 14.1 | 32 | 17.1 | 1,048 | 12.6 |
| 2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years | 333 | 10.1 | 497 | 10.6 | 14 | 8.6 | 15 | 8.0 | 859 | 10.3 |
| 3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years | 212 | 6.4 | 328 | 7.0 | 5 | 3.1 | 12 | 6.4 | 557 | 6.7 |
| 4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years | 174 | 5.3 | 246 | 5.2 | 10 | 6.1 | 18 | 9.6 | 448 | 5.4 |
| 5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years | 143 | 4.3 | 237 | 5.0 | 7 | 4.3 | 7 | 3.7 | 394 | 4.7 |
| 6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years | 104 | 3.2 | 170 | 3.6 | 5 | 3.1 | 10 | 5.3 | 289 | 3.5 |
| 7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years | 81 | 2.5 | 184 | 3.9 | 3 | 1.8 | 5 | 2.7 | 273 | 3.3 |
| 8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years | 106 | 3.2 | 153 | 3.3 | 4 | 2.4 | 4 | 2.1 | 267 | 3.2 |
| 9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years | 70 | 2.1 | 115 | 2.4 | 3 | 1.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 189 | 2.3 |
| 10 Years 1 Day — 15 Years | 194 | 5.9 | 397 | 8.4 | 2 | 1.2 | 5 | 2.7 | 598 | 7.2 |
| 15 Years 1 Day — 20 Years | 37 | 1.1 | 69 | 1.5 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.5 | 107 | 1.3 |
| 20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 |
| Life/Death | 279 | 8.5 | 411 | 8.7 | 17 | 10.4 | 17 | 9.1 | 724 | 8.7 |
| TOTAL | 3,290 | 99.8 | 4,705 | 100.0 | 163 | 99.8 | 187 | 99.8 | 8,345 | 100.3 |
| Average Time ³ To Serve | 3 yrs. 9 mos. | | 4 yrs. 3 mos. | | 2 yrs. 9 mos. | | 3 yrs. 2 mos. | | 4 yrs. | |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ Full impact for statutory, meritorious, and work credit as earned have been included; projections as to credits to be accrued have not been made in time remaining calculations.² Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.³ Excludes youthful offenders and inmates with life or death sentences.

FIGURE 25

REMAINING TIME TO SERVE OF SCDC TOTAL INMATE POPULATION, AS OF JUNE 30, 1981




TABLE 24
DISTRIBUTION OF TIME SERVED BY SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FY 1981
(July 1, 1980 — June 30, 1981)

| Time Served | Male | | | | Female | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------|---------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|---------------|----------------------|
| | White | | Non-White | | White | | Non-White | | Total | |
| | Number | Percent | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ | Number | Percent ¹ |
| 3 Months or Less | 222 | 10.8 | 213 | 9.9 | 11 | 8.5 | 20 | 13.4 | 466 | 10.4 |
| 3 Months 1 Day — 6 Months | 275 | 13.4 | 238 | 11.1 | 30 | 23.3 | 31 | 20.8 | 574 | 12.8 |
| 6 Months 1 Day — 9 Months | 389 | 18.9 | 370 | 17.2 | 31 | 24.0 | 30 | 20.1 | 820 | 18.3 |
| 9 Months 1 Day — 12 Months | 240 | 11.7 | 237 | 11.0 | 14 | 10.8 | 16 | 10.7 | 507 | 11.3 |
| 1 Year 1 Day — 2 Years | 384 | 18.7 | 406 | 18.9 | 25 | 19.4 | 26 | 17.4 | 841 | 18.8 |
| 2 Years 1 Day — 3 Years | 192 | 9.3 | 187 | 8.7 | 8 | 6.2 | 9 | 6.0 | 396 | 8.8 |
| 3 Years 1 Day — 4 Years | 130 | 6.3 | 152 | 7.1 | 2 | 1.6 | 3 | 2.0 | 287 | 6.4 |
| 4 Years 1 Day — 5 Years | 75 | 3.6 | 97 | 4.5 | 3 | 2.3 | 10 | 6.7 | 185 | 4.1 |
| 5 Years 1 Day — 6 Years | 52 | 2.5 | 67 | 3.1 | 2 | 1.6 | 1 | 0.7 | 122 | 2.7 |
| 6 Years 1 Day — 7 Years | 32 | 1.6 | 70 | 3.3 | 2 | 1.6 | 2 | 1.3 | 106 | 2.4 |
| 7 Years 1 Day — 8 Years | 20 | 1.0 | 40 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 60 | 1.3 |
| 8 Years 1 Day — 9 Years | 11 | 0.5 | 22 | 1.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 33 | 0.7 |
| 9 Years 1 Day — 10 Years | 10 | 0.5 | 17 | 0.8 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 27 | 0.6 |
| 10 Years 1 Day — 15 Years | 18 | 0.9 | 30 | 1.4 | 1 | 0.8 | 1 | 0.7 | 50 | 1.1 |
| 15 Years 1 Day — 20 Years | 3 | 0.2 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 5 | 0.1 |
| 20 Years 1 Day — 30 Years | 2 | 0.1 | 2 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 4 | 0.1 |
| Over 30 Years | 1 | 0.0* | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 0.0 | 1 | 0.0* |
| TOTAL | 2,056 | 100.0 | 2,150 | 100.1 | 129 | 100.1 | 149 | 99.8 | 4,485 | 99.9 |
| Average Time Served | 1 yr. 9 mos. | | 2 yrs. 1 mo. | | 1 yr. 2 mos. | | 1 yr. 3 mos. | | 1 yr. 10 mos. | |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ Percentage distribution does not add up to 100% due to rounding.

* Percentage is less than 0.1%.

FIGURE 26

TIME SERVED BY SCDC INMATES
RELEASED DURING FY 1981




TABLE 25

**DISTRIBUTION OF INMATES AND WORK CREDITS EARNED BY TYPE OF RELEASE AND TIME SERVED OF INMATES
RELEASED DURING FY 1981 (July 1, 1980 — June 30, 1981)**

| Time Served ¹ | YOA Parole | | Paroled by DP&CC ² | | Released Less Good Time (Expiration of Sentence) | | Placed on Probation | | Other Releases ⁵ | | Total Released | |
|------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|--|---------------------|--|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Number of Inmates | Number of Work Credits Earned | Number of Inmates | Number ³ of Work Credits Earned | Number of Inmates | Number ⁴ of Work Credits Earned | Number of Inmates | Number ⁴ of Work Credits Earned | Number of Inmates | Number of Work Credits Earned | Number of Inmates | Number of Work Credits Earned |
| 1 Year or Less | 669 | 0 | 327 | 15,436 | 961 | 13,855 | 172 | 3,814 | 237 | 405 | 2,366 | 33,510 |
| 1 Yr. 1 Day — 2 Yrs. | 184 | 0 | 354 | 35,026 | 238 | 17,830 | 51 | 3,589 | 13 | 791 | 840 | 57,236 |
| 2 Yrs. 1 Day — 3 Yrs. | 38 | 0 | 201 | 32,093 | 139 | 18,321 | 16 | 1,644 | 6 | 766 | 400 | 52,824 |
| 3 Yrs. 1 Day — 4 Yrs. | 42 | 0 | 162 | 24,834 | 69 | 8,988 | 13 | 1,013 | 1 | 285 | 287 | 35,120 |
| 4 Yrs. 1 Day — 5 Yrs. | 3 | 0 | 149 | 27,024 | 29 | 2,617 | 3 | 395 | 1 | 38 | 185 | 30,074 |
| 5 Yrs. 1 Day — 6 Yrs. | 0 | 0 | 83 | 15,639 | 33 | 4,806 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 184 | 122 | 20,629 |
| 6 Yrs. 1 Day — 7 Yrs. | 0 | 0 | 79 | 15,303 | 26 | 2,257 | 1 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 106 | 17,711 |
| 7 Yrs. 1 Day — 8 Yrs. | 0 | 0 | 43 | 7,612 | 15 | 946 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 74 | 60 | 8,632 |
| 8 Yrs. 1 Day — 9 Yrs. | 0 | 0 | 26 | 5,058 | 6 | 774 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 5,832 |
| 9 Yrs. 1 Day — 10 Yrs. | 0 | 0 | 24 | 3,860 | 3 | 465 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4,325 |
| 10 Yrs. 1 day — 30 Yrs. | 0 | 0 | 45 | 2,328 | 12 | 1,245 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 59 | 3,573 |
| Over Thirty Years | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TOTAL | 936 (20.9%)* | 0 ⁶ | 1,493 (33.3%)* | 184,213 | 1,531 (34.1%)* | 72,104 | 256 (5.7%)* | 10,606 | 269 (6.0%)* | 2,543 | 4,485 | 269,466 |
| Average Time Served | 1 yr. | | 3 yrs. 2 mos. | | 1 yr. 5 mos. | | 1 yr. | | 7.2 mos. | | 1 yr. 10 mos. | |


Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ Time served is calculated as the difference between release date and sentence start date.² Department of Parole and Community Corrections.³ This is equivalent to the number of days reduced in time served.⁴ Only approximately 57% of the credits earned are equivalent to the number of days reduced in time served because of considerations for statutory and meritorious good time.⁵ Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine or died.⁶ Youthful offenders do not earn work credits although they have work assignments.

* Percentages are based on a total of 4,485 inmates released.

FIGURE 27

AVERAGE TIME SERVED BY SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FY 1981



*Department of Parole and Community Corrections

¹Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine, or died.

TABLE 26

**DISTRIBUTION BY WORK CREDITS EARNED AND TYPE OF
RELEASE OF SCDC INMATES RELEASED DURING FY 1981
(July 1, 1980 — June 30, 1981)**

| Work Credits Earned | Type of Release | | | | | Total |
|---|------------------|--|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------|
| | YOA Parole | Parole By Probation Parole and Pardon Board | Expiration of Sentence | Other Releases ¹ | Placed on Probation | |
| Not Applicable | 936 ² | 0 | 25 | 9 | 0 | 970 |
| 0 | 0 | 42 | 324 | 189 | 30 | 585 |
| 1-50 | 0 | 351 | 712 | 48 | 149 | 1,260 |
| 51-100 | 0 | 352 | 235 | 11 | 51 | 649 |
| 101-150 | 0 | 262 | 94 | 5 | 15 | 376 |
| 151-200 | 0 | 161 | 71 | 2 | 9 | 243 |
| 201-250 | 0 | 144 | 40 | 3 | 2 | 189 |
| 251-300 | 0 | 94 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 114 |
| 301-350 | 0 | 57 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 67 |
| 351-400 | 0 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| 401-450 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| 451-500 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| 501-550 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Total Releases | 936 | 1,493 | 1,531 | 269 | 256 | 4,485 |
| Total Work Credits Earned | 0 | 184,213 | 72,104 | 2,543 | 10,606 | 269,466 |
| Average Credits Earned Per Inmate Released ³ | 0 | 128.0 | 61.7 | 54.1 | 47.3 | 93.6 |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ Other releases include inmates discharged by court order, released on appeal bond, discharged upon paying fine or who died.

² Youthful offenders do not earn work credits although they have work assignments.

³ Inmates who did not participate in the motivational work program and for whom work credits are not applicable are excluded from the computation of these averages.

TABLE 27

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Job Description | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
| Level 2 | | | | | | | | |
| Baker Supervisor | 23 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 23 | 3,704 | 162 |
| Boiler Room Supervisor | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 800 | 160 |
| Butler Room Supervisor | 9 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 9 | 1,453 | 162 |
| Cafeteria Super./Senior Cook ... | 107 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 117 | 107 | 17,564 | 165 |
| Carpenter Supervisor | 27 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 27 | 3,201 | 119 |
| Inmate Grievance Clerk | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 468 | 117 |
| SCDC Inmate Adv. Rep. | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 | 541 | 136 |
| Design Engineer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 71 | 71 |
| Electrician Supervisor | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 18 | 2,353 | 131 |
| General Construction Sup. | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 17 | 1,899 | 112 |
| Grade Super. Ht. & Ac. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 64 | 32 |
| Heat/Air Cond. Supervisor | 12 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 12 | 1,394 | 117 |
| Industries Grp./Sect. Leader ... | 91 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 101 | 91 | 10,953 | 121 |
| Inventory Supervisor | 23 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 23 | 2,922 | 128 |
| Maintenance Supervisor | 39 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 46 | 39 | 5,517 | 142 |
| Mason Supervisor | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 20 | 2,472 | 124 |
| Material Cutt./Mark Supervisor . | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 319 | 107 |
| Painter Supervisor | 22 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 22 | 2,590 | 118 |
| Plumber Supervisor | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 1,626 | 117 |
| Professional Personnel | 36 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 36 | 4,442 | 124 |
| Senior Wardkeeper | 63 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 75 | 63 | 9,789 | 156 |

TABLE 27 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Job Description | Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period | | | | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | | | | |
| Shop Supervisor | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 21 | 20 | 2,324 | 117 |
| Teacher Assistant Supervisor.... | 16 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 1,922 | 121 |
| Truck Driver, Heavy | 101 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 101 | 12,758 | 127 |
| Warehouse Supervisor | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 927 | 116 |
| Welding Supervisor | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 20 | 2,254 | 113 |
| Heavy Eq. Oper., Skilled | 55 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 55 | 6,718 | 123 |
| Heavy Farm Eq. Operator, Skilled | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 1,177 | 131 |
| Bindery Supervisor | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 112 | 56 |
| Dark Room & Plant Supervisor .. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 101 | 101 |
| Press Supervisor | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 118 | 118 |
| Typesetter Supervisor | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 159 | 80 |
| Litter Control Program | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 48 | 48 | 6,009 | 126 |
| Sanitation Worker | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 20 | 2,434 | 122 |
| Dog Handler (Skilled) | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 641 | 161 |
| Dental Lab. Technician | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 153 | 51 |
| Drafter (Professional) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 92 | 92 |
| Quality-Control Tech. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 35 | 35 |
| Sewing Machine Repairer | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 164 | 82 |
| Canteen Supervisor | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 8 | 1,326 | 166 |
| Work Release | 560 | 81 | 1 | 0 | 642 | 561 | 56,056 | 100 |
| Extended Work Release | 151 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 151 | 11,691 | 78 |

| Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Job Description | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
| Employment Program | 94 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 94 | 11,601 | 124 |
| Education Release | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 15 | 348 | 24 |
| Community Transit. Service | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 38 | 973 | 26 |
| Unemployed Comm. Prog. Part . | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 931 | 94 |
| Level 3 | | | | | | | | |
| Baker | 61 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 64 | 61 | 6,340 | 104 |
| Barber | 20 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 24 | 21 | 1,627 | 78 |
| Belt Loader | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Boiler Maker | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 42 | 42 |
| Boiler Operator | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 982 | 90 |
| Bookkeeper | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Brickmason | 31 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 34 | 31 | 2,532 | 82 |
| Butcher | 14 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 1,058 | 76 |
| Canteen Operator | 25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 25 | 2,466 | 99 |
| Carpenter | 52 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 57 | 52 | 3,960 | 77 |
| Chaplain Assistant | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 11 | 982 | 90 |
| Chief Clerk | 71 | 22 | 4 | 1 | 96 | 74 | 5,931 | 81 |
| Classroom Leader | 13 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 17 | 13 | 1,105 | 85 |
| Commissary Operator | 17 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 17 | 1,373 | 81 |
| Concrete Finisher | 24 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 24 | 1,711 | 72 |
| Cook | 181 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 191 | 182 | 18,324 | 101 |
| Custodial Supervisor | 42 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 51 | 43 | 3,838 | 90 |
| Dining Room Supervisor | 27 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 2,558 | 95 |
| Dip Tank Operator | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 227 | 76 |
| Dog Handler | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 499 | 84 |
| Drafter | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 3 | 180 | 60 |
| Driver | 67 | 18 | 0 | 1 | 85 | 67 | 6,270 | 94 |

TABLE 27-(Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Job Description | Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period | | | | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
|---|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | | | | |
| Electrician | 30 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 30 | 2,172 | 73 |
| Farm Machine Operator | 24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 24 | 1,736 | 73 |
| Furniture Assembler | 18 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 18 | 1,389 | 78 |
| Furniture Repairer | 7 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 7 | 428 | 62 |
| Groundskeeper Supervisor | 28 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 32 | 28 | 2,321 | 83 |
| Hand Tool Repairer | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 355 | 89 |
| Heavy Eq. Operator, Semi-Skilled | 21 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 21 | 1,609 | 77 |
| Housekeeper | 28 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 28 | 2,497 | 90 |
| Instrument Fitter | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | .. | .. |
| Insulator | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 328 | 82 |
| Inventory Clerk | 20 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 20 | 1,443 | 73 |
| Ironworker | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 93 | 31 |
| License Tag Quality Control Op. | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 453 | 76 |
| Livestock Caretaker | 41 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 41 | 4,070 | 100 |
| Locksmith | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 165 | 83 |
| Machine Operator | 127 | 12 | 11 | 2 | 151 | 137 | 10,110 | 74 |
| Material Cutter/Marker | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 98 | 49 |
| Material Handling Eq. Op. | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 146 | 73 |
| Meat Cutter | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 15 | 1,231 | 83 |
| Mechanic | 64 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 67 | 64 | 5,175 | 81 |
| Medical Assistant | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 17 |
| Milking Machine Operator | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 479 | 96 |
| Milk Processor | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 880 | 98 |
| Millwright | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 22 |
| Painter | 59 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 59 | 4,569 | 78 |

Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period

| Job Description | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Pattern Maker | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Photographer | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 119 | 119 |
| Pipe Fitter | 8 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 562 | 71 |
| Plumber | 30 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 30 | 2,369 | 79 |
| Print Machine Operator | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 134 | 67 |
| Radio Dispatcher | 14 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 14 | 1,263 | 91 |
| Recreation Assistant | 29 | 8 | 3 | 0 | 38 | 31 | 2,568 | 83 |
| Roofer | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 741 | 75 |
| Safety Security Clerk | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 54 | 54 |
| Secretary | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 81 | 81 |
| Shipping & Receiving Clerk | 16 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 16 | 1,005 | 63 |
| Silk Screen Operator | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 18 | 18 |
| Storekeeper | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 951 | 74 |
| Switchboard Operator | 8 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 12 | 8 | 738 | 93 |
| Teacher Assistant | 44 | 16 | 7 | 1 | 67 | 51 | 3,391 | 67 |
| Tier Keeper | 13 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 13 | 1,429 | 110 |
| Timekeeper | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Tray Line Supervisor | 20 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 20 | 2,186 | 110 |
| Typesetter | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 3 |
| Upholsterer | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 952 | 74 |
| Vegetable Preparation Sup. | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 545 | 91 |
| Wardkeeper | 100 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 115 | 100 | 9,792 | 98 |
| Warehouse Sup. Assistant | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 181 | 46 |
| Waste Treatment Super. | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 213 | 71 |
| Welder | 31 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 31 | 2,254 | 73 |
| Litter Control Pg. Part. | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 884 | 74 |
| Landscape Gardener | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 576 | 96 |
| Sandblaster | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 245 | 82 |
| Laminator | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 477 | 80 |
| Para-Prof. Couns., Skilled | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 1,099 | 100 |
| Hort. Spec. Grower, Inside | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 13 | 1,035 | 80 |
| Dental Lab Tech. Skilled | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 383 | 64 |

TABLE 27 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Job Description | Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
| Level 5 | | | | | | | | |
| Food Svs. Aide | 13 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 15 | 13 | 515 | 40 |
| Barber Apprentice | 13 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 19 | 13 | 567 | 44 |
| Boilermaker Helper | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 11 |
| Boiler Operator Helper | 5 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 159 | 32 |
| Brickmason Helper | 28 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 41 | 30 | 1,282 | 43 |
| Food Svs. Aide | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Canteen Operator Helper | 10 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 10 | 443 | 45 |
| Carpenter Helper | 28 | 9 | 1 | 1 | 38 | 29 | 1,295 | 45 |
| Commissary Oper. Helper | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 105 | 21 |
| Concrete Finisher Helper | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 52 | 18 |
| Food Svs. Aide | 46 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 46 | 1,933 | 43 |
| Dairy Helper | 14 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 14 | 682 | 49 |
| Dip Tank Operator Helper | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 132 | 44 |
| Drafter Helper | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 13 | 13 |
| Electrician Helper | 25 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 26 | 1,144 | 44 |
| Furniture Assembler Hlp. | 11 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 14 | 11 | 504 | 46 |
| Furniture Repair Helper | 20 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 20 | 848 | 43 |
| Gate Attendant | 15 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 15 | 663 | 45 |
| Hauler | 25 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 25 | 1,065 | 43 |
| Heavy Equip. Operator Hlper .. | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 290 | 42 |
| Instrument Fitter Hlper | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Insulator Helper | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 89 | 30 |
| Ironworker Helper | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Laminator Helper | 5 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 5 | 217 | 44 |
| Laundry Helper | 38 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 50 | 40 | 1,642 | 42 |
| Laundry Room Attendant | 66 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 66 | 3,349 | 51 |
| Library Helper | 13 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 13 | 624 | 48 |

Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period

| Job Description | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Licen. Tag Qu. Ctl. Op. Hlp. | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 29 |
| Livestock Caretaker Hlp. | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 804 | 51 |
| Locksmith Helper | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | .. | .. |
| Machine Operator Helper | 7 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 238 | 30 |
| Mailroom Clerk | 1 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 65 | 65 |
| Material Cut/Mark Hlp. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 16 |
| Food Svs. Aide | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Mechanic Helper | 36 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 43 | 37 | 1,544 | 42 |
| Medical Orderly | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 10 | 551 | 56 |
| Millwright Helper | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Night Watchman/Clockman | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 29 |
| Office Clerk | 18 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 18 | 818 | 46 |
| Painter Helper | 17 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 22 | 17 | 793 | 47 |
| Para-Professional Consl. | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 87 | 44 |
| Pattern Maker Helper | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Pipe Fitter Helper | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 338 | 38 |
| Plumber Helper | 19 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 22 | 19 | 750 | 40 |
| Printing Machine Op. Hlp. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | .. | .. |
| Receptionist | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 17 | 17 |
| Recreation Aide | 14 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 17 | 14 | 747 | 54 |
| Roofer Helper | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 255 | 37 |
| Safety Hat Control Clrk | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Service Stat. Attendant | 8 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 502 | 56 |
| Ship & Receiving Clk Hlp. | 6 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 6 | 199 | 34 |
| Silk Screen Operator Hlp. | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 12 | 12 |
| Stock Clerk | 6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 6 | 199 | 34 |
| Supply Clerk | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 171 | 57 |
| Teacher Aide | 32 | 12 | 0 | 1 | 44 | 32 | 1,390 | 44 |
| Tier Keeper Assistant | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 216 | 54 |
| Tool Clerk | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 13 | 9 | 278 | 31 |
| Food Svs. Aide | 12 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 12 | 651 | 55 |
| Typesetter Helper | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Upholsterer Helper | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 17 | 714 | 42 |
| Wardkeeper Assistant | 109 | 18 | 3 | 5 | 132 | 111 | 6,927 | 63 |

TABLE 27 (Continued)

**DISTRIBUTION OF WORK CREDITS EARNED BY SCDC INMATES BY JOB ASSIGNMENTS DURING FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980 TO JUNE 30, 1981)**

| Job Description | Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period | | | | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
|------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | | | | |
| Warehouse Attendant | 14 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 555 | 40 |
| Waste Treatment Assistant | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 31 | 31 |
| Welder Helper | 17 | 8 | 2 | 1 | 27 | 19 | 675 | 36 |
| Auto Body Repair Helper | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 81 | 41 |
| Electronics Repair Hlper | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 121 | 41 |
| Custodial Attdn., State House .. | 10 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 449 | 45 |
| Custodial Attdn., Gov. Mansion .. | 11 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 11 | 451 | 41 |
| Custodial Attdn., Visiting Room .. | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 482 | 54 |
| Admin. Runner/Messenger | 8 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 310 | 31 |
| Food Service Aide | 340 | 55 | 1 | 2 | 397 | 341 | 19,823 | 59 |
| Custodian Helper | 8 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 394 | 50 |
| Sander | 7 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 7 | 336 | 48 |
| Level 7 | | | | | | | | |
| Clerk Helper | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 28 | 14 |
| Construction Worker | 5 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 5 | 150 | 30 |
| Custodial Worker | 323 | 69 | 63 | 63 | 516 | 386 | 12,993 | 34 |
| Food Svs. Aide | 11 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 11 | 38 | 4 |
| Elevatory Operator | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 5 |
| Farm Worker | 58 | 7 | 1 | 1 | 65 | 59 | 1,767 | 30 |
| Garment Worker | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 18 | 18 |
| General Worker | 259 | 55 | 26 | 71 | 410 | 285 | 7,309 | 26 |

| Average Number of Inmates Assigned Per Day During Period | | | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Job Description | Full-Time With Credit | Full-Time No Credit | Part-Time With Credit | Part-Time No Credit | Total Inmates* | Total Earning Credits* | Total Number of Credits | Average No. of Credits Per Job** |
| Horticulture Trainee | 19 | 6 | 1 | 3 | 28 | 20 | 513 | 26 |
| Industries Trainee | 37 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 40 | 37 | 1,065 | 29 |
| Food Svs. Aide | 30 | 14 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 32 | 505 | 16 |
| Laundry Worker | 26 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 26 | 762 | 30 |
| Machine Operator Trainee | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 125 | 18 |
| Road Maintenance Worker | 157 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 158 | 157 | 4,594 | 30 |
| Runner/Messenger | 13 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 21 | 14 | 480 | 35 |
| Sanitation Worker | 34 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 35 | 34 | 1,088 | 32 |
| Wash Rack Attendant | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 90 | 23 |
| Auto Body Repair Trainee | 12 | 5 | 6 | 5 | 28 | 18 | 480 | 27 |
| Construction Trainee | 55 | 14 | 5 | 14 | 86 | 60 | 1,683 | 29 |
| Electrician Trainee | 18 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 18 | 611 | 34 |
| Electronic Repair Trainee | 7 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 9 | 262 | 30 |
| Heavy Eq. Mechanic Trainee ... | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 250 | 32 |
| Heavy Eq. Operator Trainee ... | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 327 | 33 |
| Mechanic Trainee | 5 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 22 | 8 | 179 | 23 |
| Welder Trainee | 11 | 14 | 4 | 3 | 32 | 15 | 298 | 20 |
| Dental Lab Tech. Trainee | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. | .. |
| Landscape Laborer | 4 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 129 | 33 |
| TOTAL | 5,562 | 809 | 161 | 192 | 6,727 | 5,827 | 430,627 | 74 |

* Because of rounding, these two columns may not be exactly the total or subtotal of the previous columns.

** Average computed based on the number of full-time and part-time inmates assigned and earning work credits.

TABLE 28

PAROLE¹ STATISTICS, FY 1981
(July 1, 1980-June 30, 1981)

| Locations | Considered | Numbered Paroled | | | Percent Paroled | | |
|--------------------------------------|------------|------------------|--------------------|-------|-----------------|--------------------|-------|
| | | Paroled | Provisional Parole | Total | Parole | Provisional Parole | Total |
| Community Work Release Centers | 537 | 366 | 30 | 396 | 68% | 6% | 74% |
| Medium Custody Institutions | 1,006 | 176 | 223 | 399 | 17% | 22% | 39% |
| Minimum Custody Institutions | 940 | 261 | 236 | 497 | 28% | 25% | 53% |
| Women | 141 | 53 | 20 | 73 | 38% | 14% | 52% |
| Designated Facilities | 284 | 134 | 48 | 182 | 47% | 17% | 64% |
| TOTAL..... | 2,908 | 990 | 557 | 1,547 | 34% | 19% | 53% |

Source: Department of Parole and Community Corrections and Division of Resource and Information Management

¹ This table represents the outcome of parole hearings held by the Department of Parole and Community Corrections during the fiscal year and does not include youthful offenders paroled by the Division of Classification and Community Services.

FIGURE 28

PERCENTAGE OF SCD C INMATES GRANTED PAROLE BY FISCAL YEAR
(1978-1981)




TABLE 29
COMMUNITY PROGRAM STATISTICS, FY 1981
(JULY 1, 1980-JUNE 30, 1981)

| | Community Programs ¹ | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------------------|---|-------------------------------------|
| | 30-Day Pre-Release Program | Employment Program | 120-Day Accelerated Work Release, Regular Work Release, Work-Study Release, Federal Referral Program | Extended Work Release Program |
| Inmate Flows | | | | |
| Participants in Program at Beginning | 148 | 98 | 619 | 120 |
| Admitted During Fiscal Year | 2,240 | 179 | 1,662 | 361 |
| Total Loss During Fiscal Year | 2,219 | 150 | 1,723 | 363 |
| Dismissed | 74 | 12 | 363 | 46 |
| Released | 1,512 | 0 | 635 | 106 |
| Paroled | 365 | 0 | 353 | 163 |
| Transferred to Other Programs | 268 | 138 | 372 | 48 |
| Participants in Program at End | 169 | 127 | 558 | 118 |

Source: Division of Classification and Community Services

¹ See Section D, page 135, of the Appendix for details of these programs.

TABLE 30

YOUTHFUL OFFENDER STATISTICS,
FISCAL YEARS 1980-1981

| | Fiscal Year 1980 | Fiscal Year 1981 | Absolute Change | Per- centage Change |
|--|------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|
| Total YOA Admissions | 1,157 | 1,134 | - 23 | - 2.0 |
| 5b's ¹ | 130 | 129 | - 1 | - 0.8 |
| 5c's ¹ | 1,027 | 995 | - 32 | - 3.1 |
| 5d's ¹ | 0 | 0 | .. | .. |
| Total YOA Releases | 984 | 1,015 | 31 | 3.2 |
| Conditional | 896 | 941 | 45 | 5.0 |
| Unconditional | 88 | 74 | 14 | -15.9 |
| Total Number Under Supervision at End of Fiscal Year | 2,448 | 1,919* | -529 | -21.6 |
| Number Incarcerated at End of Fiscal Year | 933 | 873 | - 60 | - 6.4 |
| 5b's | 25 | 17 | - 8 | -32.0 |
| 5c's | 908 | 856 | - 52 | - 5.7 |
| 5d's | 0 | 0 | .. | .. |
| Number of Conditional Releases Under Supervision at End of Fiscal Year | 1,515 | 938* | -577 | -38.1 |

Source: Division of Classification and Community Services

¹ See Section B of the Appendix, page 133, for a detailed explanation of the Youthful Offender Act.

* Effective January 15, 1981, the period of parole supervision was reduced from two years to one year.

TABLE 31

**DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC PERSONNEL BY
SEX, RACE AND TYPE OF POSITION,
AS OF JUNE 18, 1981**

| | Male | | Female | | Total |
|---|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------|
| | White | Non-White | White | Non-White | |
| Security Personnel ¹ . . | 463 (21.9%) | 479 (22.7%) | 88 (4.2%) | 132 (6.3%) | 1,162 (55.0%) |
| Non-Security Personnel ¹ * . . . | 422 (20.0%) | 144 (6.8%) | 285 (13.5%) | 98 (4.6%) | 949 (45.0%) |
| SCDC TOTAL* . | 885 (41.9%) | 623 (29.5%) | 373 (17.7%) | 230 (10.9%) | 2,111 (100.0%) |

Source: Division of Personnel Administration and Training

¹ Security personnel include all uniformed personnel: correctional officers, correctional officer assistant supervisors, correctional officer supervisors, and chief correctional officer supervisors.

* Percentages are based on the grand total of 2,111 employees.

FIGURE 29

SCDC PERSONNEL BY RACE, SEX AND
TYPE OF POSITION, AS OF JUNE 18, 1981




TABLE 32

DISTRIBUTION OF SCDC SECURITY STRENGTH BY FACILITY, AS OF JUNE 18, 1981¹

| Facilities | Number of Correctional Officers Authorized | Number of Correctional Officers Actually Assigned | | | Average Inmate Population | Number of Inmates Per Authorized Correctional Officer |
|---|---|---|--------|-------|---------------------------------|---|
| | | Male | Female | Total | | |
| Appalachian Correctional Region | 289 | 250 | 59 | 309 | 1,454 | 5.0 |
| Appalachian Reception & Evaluation Ctr. | 27 | 17 | 5 | 22 | 101 | 3.7 |
| Blue Ridge Pre-Release/Work Release Ctr. | 11 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 188 | 17.1 |
| Dutchman Correctional Institution | 2 | 64 | 15 | 79 | 375 | * |
| Givens Youth Correction Center | 13 | 11 | 2 | 13 | 136 | 10.5 |
| Greenwood Correctional Center | 14 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 87 | 6.2 |
| Hillcrest Correctional Center | 11 | 10 | 1 | 11 | 93 | 8.4 |
| Northside Correctional Center | 12 | 21 | 3 | 24 | 116 | 9.7 |
| Oaklawn Correctional Center | 14 | 12 | 0 | 12 | 103 | 7.4 |
| Perry Correctional Institution | 173 | 88 | 27 | 115 | 153 | ** |
| Piedmont Work Release Center | 9 | 7 | 2 | 9 | 102 | 11.3 |
| Regional Training and Transportation Office | 3 | 1 | 0 | 1 | .. | .. |
| Division of Institutional Operations/ Minimum Security | 168 | 130 | 38 | 168 | 1,685 | 10.0 |
| Aiken Youth Correction Center | 33 | 17 | 11 | 28 | 223 | 6.8 |
| Campbell Work Release Center | 11 | 11 | 0 | 11 | 155 | 14.1 |
| Catawba Work Release Center | 8 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 79 | 9.9 |
| Goodman Correctional Institution | 14 | 11 | 3 | 14 | 99 | 7.1 |
| Employment Program Dorm | 8 | 6 | 1 | 7 | 83 | 10.4 |
| Women's Work Release Dorm | 6 | 1 | 5 | 6 | 65 | 10.8 |
| Lower Savannah Work Release Center | 6 | 5 | 2 | 7 | 58 | 9.7 |
| Walden Correctional Institution | 16 | 15 | 5 | 20 | 248 | 15.5 |
| Wateree River Correctional Institution | 45 | 40 | 6 | 46 | 482 | 10.7 |
| Watkins Pre-Release Center | 21 | 18 | 4 | 22 | 193 | 9.2 |

TABLE 32 (Continued)

| | | | | | | |
|--|--------------------|-----|-----|--------------------|-------|------|
| Division of Institutional Operations/ | | | | | | |
| Medium-Maximum Security | 618 | 486 | 114 | 600 | 3,625 | 5.9 |
| Central Correctional Institution | 272 | 220 | 29 | 249 | 1,522 | 5.6 |
| Kirkland Correctional Institution | 159 | 143 | 23 | 166 | 1,102 | 6.9 |
| Manning Correctional Institution | 59 | 48 | 9 | 57 | 460 | 7.8 |
| Maximum Security Center | 30 | 29 | 0 | 29 | 98 | 3.3 |
| Midlands Reception & Evaluation Center | 34 | 33 | 1 | 34 | 181 | 5.3 |
| Women's Correctional Center | 64 | 13 | 52 | 65 | 262 | 4.1 |
| Coastal Correctional Region | 52 | 51 | 4 | 55 | 620 | 11.9 |
| Coastal Work Release Center | 8 | 6 | 2 | 8 | 92 | 11.5 |
| MacDougall Youth Correction Center | 36 | 38 | 1 | 39 | 426 | 11.8 |
| Palmer Work Release Center | 8 | 7 | 1 | 8 | 102 | 12.8 |
| TOTAL SCDC FACILITIES | 1,127 ² | 917 | 215 | 1,132 ³ | 7,384 | 6.6 |

Source: Division of Personnel Administration and Training

¹ This date is closest to the end of the period in which information for developing this table is available.

² This number excludes 16 authorized for the State Park Health Center, 4 for the Division of Construction, and 6 for the Criminal Justice Academy.

³ This number excludes 16 assigned to State Park Health Center, 7 for the Division of Construction, and 7 for the Criminal Justice Academy.

* The ratio of inmate to authorized correctional officer cannot be accurately computed because only two officers were authorized at the end of the period but 79 officers were actually assigned.

** Because of the ongoing phase-in of inmate and staff at the Perry Correctional Institution, a ratio of inmate to correctional officer would not be meaningful.

TABLE 33

**NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF INMATES ADMITTED TO
SCDC UNDER THE 1975 ARMED ROBBERY ACT/THE 1977 ACT
SPECIFYING 20-YEAR PAROLE ELIGIBILITY FOR CERTAIN
LIFE SENTENCES (FY 1976-1981)**

| Fiscal Year | Total Admissions | Inmates Sentenced Under the Armed Robbery Act of 1975 | | | Inmates Sentenced Under A Life Sentence with 20-Year Parole Eligibility | |
|----------------|---------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--------------------------------|
| | | Number | Percent of Total Admissions | Average Sentence Length | Number | Percent of Total Admissions |
| 1976 | 5,408 | 249 | 4.6% | 18 yrs. 1 mo. | N/A ² | --- |
| 1977 | 5,130 | 243 | 4.7% | 22 yrs. 2 mos. | 10 | 0.2% |
| 1978 | 5,150 | 218 | 4.2% | 19 yrs. 2 mos. | 46 | 0.9% |
| 1979 | 4,683 | 202 | 4.3% | 21 yrs. 1 mo. | 37 | 0.8% |
| 1980 | 5,049 | 191 | 3.8% | 22 yrs. | 57 | 1.1% |
| 1981 | 5,511 | 236 | 4.3% | 20 yrs. 6 mos. | 33 | 0.6% |

Source: Division of Resource and Information Management

¹Details of these two Acts are contained in Section H of the Appendix, page 140.

²Not applicable — Act was not legislated until June 8, 1977.

APPENDIX

- A. Statutory Authority of the South Carolina Department of Corrections
- B. Youthful Offender Act
- C. Programs and Services Administered by the South Carolina Department of Corrections
- D. Community Programs
- E. Counties Comprising South Carolina Planning Districts and Correctional Regions
- F. Counties Comprising South Carolina Judicial Circuits
- G. Offense Classification
- H. Legislation Relating to Minimum Sentencing/Parole Eligibility For Armed Robbery and Murder

APPENDIX A

STATUTORY AUTHORITY OF THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

The South Carolina Department of Corrections was created in 1960 by Section 55-292, South Carolina Code of Laws as follows: "There is hereby created as an administrative agency of the State government the Department of Corrections. The functions of the Department shall be to implement and carry out the policy of the State with respect to its prison system, as set forth in 55-291, and the performance of such other duties and matters as may be delegated to it pursuant to Law."

Section 55-291 as referred to in Section 55-292 sets out the Declaration of Policy as follows: "It shall be the policy of this State in the operation and management of the Department of Corrections to manage and conduct the Department in such a manner as will be consistent with the operation of a modern prison system and with the view of making the system self-sustaining, and that those convicted of violating the law and sentenced to a term in the State Penitentiary shall have humane treatment, and be given opportunity, encouragement and training in the matter of reformation."

Further significant statutory authority was provided the Department by Section 14, Part II, the permanent provisions of the 1974-75 General Appropriations Act which was signed on June 28, 1974. Section 14 is, in effect, an amendment of Section 55-321 and places all prisoners convicted of an offense against the State in the custody of the Department when their sentences exceed three months. The text of the statute is as follows:

"Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 55-321 of the 1962 Code, or any other provision of law, any person convicted of an offense against the State of South Carolina shall be in the custody of the Board of Corrections of the State of South Carolina, and the Board shall designate the place of confinement where the sentence shall be served. The Board may designate as a place of confinement any available, suitable and appropriate institution or facility, including a county jail or work camp whether maintained by the State Department of Corrections or otherwise, but the consent of the officials in charge of the county institutions so designated shall be first obtained. Provided, that if imprisonment for three months or less is ordered by the court as the punishment, all persons so convicted shall be placed in the custody, supervision and control of the appropriate officials of the county wherein the sentence was pronounced, if such county has facilities suitable for confinement."

This statute was amended by an added provision in the 1975-76 General Appropriations Act to provide for notification to the Department of Corrections of the closing of county prison facilities as follows: "Section 14, Part II, of Act 1136 of 1974 is amended by adding the following proviso at the end thereof: Provided, further, that the Department of Corrections shall be notified by the county officials concerned not less than six months prior to the closing of any county prison facility which would result in the transfer of the prisoners of the county facility to facilities of the Department."

APPENDIX B

YOUTHFUL OFFENDER ACT

The Youthful Offender Act provides for indeterminate sentencing of offenders between the ages of 17 and 21, extended to 25 with offender consent. The specific provisions of the Act are as follows:

Section 5b — This section allows the court to release the youthful offender to the custody of the Department's Division of Classification and Community Services prior to sentencing for an observation and evaluation period of not more than 60 days.

Section 5c — This section allows the court to sentence the youthful offender, between 17 and 21, without his consent, indefinitely to the custody of the Department's Division of Classification and Community Services for treatment and supervision until discharge. The period of such custody will not exceed six years. If the offender has reached 21 years of age but is less than 25 years of age, he may be sentenced in accordance with the above procedure if he consents thereto in writing.

Section 5d — This section provides that if the court finds that the youthful offender will not derive benefits from treatment, it may sentence the youthful offender under any other applicable provision.

APPENDIX C

PROGRAMS AND SERVICES ADMINISTERED BY THE SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS

| Responsible Division | Program Area/Activity |
|--|--|
| Human Services | Title I Supplementary Educational Services; Adult Basic Education and other Educational Services in accordance with Public Law 94-142; Vocational Education and Apprenticeship Programs; Post Secondary Education Programs; Library Services; Psychological Services; Institutional Mental Health Counseling Services; Reception and Evaluation Services; Special Learning Unit; Recreational Services; Residential Institutional Therapeutic Community; Horticulture Program; CETA Transition Services; Morris Village and Alston Wilkes Community Halfway House Furlough Programs; SCDC/S. C. Department of Vocational Rehabilitation Inter-Agency Contract; Arts-in-Prison Program. |
| Health Services | Medical/Dental Outpatient Services; Infirmary Services; General Surgery and Orthopedic Surgery; Internal Medicine; Psychiatric Services; Optometric Services; Referral Services — Dermatology, Physical Therapy, Neurology and Urology. |
| Classification and Community Services | Classification and Assignment; Work Release; Extended Work Release; 30-Day Pre-Release; 120-Day Accelerated Work Release; Youthful Offender Referrals; Educational Release; Federal Offender Referrals; Employment Program; Economic Development Pilot Program; Provisional Parolees Referrals; Inmate Furlough; Casework; Pre-sentence Investigation; Institutional Services; Parole and Aftercare Services for Youthful Offenders. |
| Inmate Relations | Interview inmates in regard to grievances; represent inmates in cases involving infractions of rules; resolution of inmate grievances; represent inmates who appear before institutional adjustment committees. |

APPENDIX D

COMMUNITY PROGRAMS

1. **30-Day Pre-Release Program:** All inmates who are to be released from the SCDC or to be placed in the 120-Day Accelerated Work Release or Employment Programs participate in the 30-Day Pre-Release Program. This program offers participants a series of pre-release training sessions at the Watkins Pre-Release Center and the Blue Ridge Community Pre-Release Center. Inmates on the 30-Day Pre-Release Program do not work in the community. Furthermore, participants in the 30-Day Program can be transferred to any one of the community programs except the Extended Work Release Program.
2. **Employment Program, 120-Day Accelerated Work Release, Regular Work Release, Work-Study Release, Federal Referral Programs:** Inmates participating in the Employment Program, the 120-Day Accelerated Work Release, Regular Work Release, Work-Study Release, and Federal Referral Programs work in the community during the day and reside in SCDC work centers or the Employment Dormitory. These programs have similar selection criteria but differ in terms of the inmates' remaining time to serve before eligible for parole or other forms of release. The Federal Bureau of Prisons refers some of their inmates to SCDC who are legal residents of South Carolina and meet all the criteria for the SCDC Regular Work Release Program. For details of the programs' respective eligibility requirements, users of this report should consult the Division of Classification and Community Services. Participants in the Employment Program can be transferred to the 120-Day Accelerated Work Release, the Regular Work Release or Work-Study Release Programs.
3. **Extended Work Release Program:** This program allows the exceptional work release inmate to continue employment in the community and reside with an approved community sponsor. Program participants continue to be responsible to the work release center assigned and are maintained as authorized absentees. Information on eligibility criteria can be obtained from the Division of Classification and Community Services.

APPENDIX E

COUNTIES COMPRISING SOUTH CAROLINA PLANNING DISTRICTS AND CORRECTIONAL REGIONS

APPALACHIAN REGION

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Planning District I (Appalachian) | Planning District II (Upper Savannah) |
| Anderson | Abbeville |
| Cherokee | Edgefield |
| Greenville | Greenwood |
| Oconee | Laurens |
| Pickens | McCormick |
| Spartanburg | Saluda |

MIDLANDS REGION

| | |
|--------------------------------------|---|
| Planning District III (Catawba) | Planning District IV (Central Midlands) |
| Chester | Fairfield |
| Lancaster | Lexington |
| Union | Newberry |
| York | Richland |
| Planning District V (Lower Savannah) | Planning District VI (Santee-Wateree) |
| Aiken | Clarendon |
| Allendale | Kershaw |
| Bamberg | Lee |
| Barnwell | Sumter |
| Calhoun | |
| Orangeburg | |
| Planning District VII (Pee Dee) | |
| Chesterfield | |
| Darlington | |
| Dillon | |
| Florence | |
| Marion | |
| Marlboro | |

COASTAL REGION

| | |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| Planning District VIII (Waccamaw) | Planning District IX (Berkeley- Charleston-Dorchester) |
| Georgetown | Berkeley |
| Horry | Charleston |
| Williamsburg | Dorchester |
| Planning District X (Low Country) | |
| Beaufort | |
| Colleton | |
| Hampton | |
| Jasper | |

APPENDIX F
COUNTIES COMPRISING SOUTH CAROLINA
JUDICIAL CIRCUITS

Judicial Circuit #1
Calhoun
Dorchester
Orangeburg

Judicial Circuit #2
Aiken
Bamberg
Barnwell

Judicial Circuit #3
Clarendon
Lee
Sumter
Williamsburg

Judicial Circuit #4
Chesterfield
Darlington
Dillon
Marlboro

Judicial Circuit #5
Kershaw
Richland

Judicial Circuit #6
Chester
Fairfield
Lancaster

Judicial Circuit #7
Cherokee
Spartanburg

Judicial Circuit #8
Abbeville
Greenwood
Laurens
Newberry

Judicial Circuit #9
Charleston
Berkeley

Judicial Circuit #10
Anderson
Oconee

Judicial Circuit #11
Edgefield
Lexington
McCormick
Saluda

Judicial Circuit #12
Florence
Marion

Judicial Circuit #13
Greenville
Pickens

Judicial Circuit #14
Allendale
Beaufort
Colleton
Hampton
Jasper

Judicial Circuit #15
Georgetown
Horry

Judicial Circuit #16
Union
York

APPENDIX G

OFFENSE CLASSIFICATION

| | |
|---|--|
| Homicide | |
| Willful Killing/Family | Housebreaking |
| Willful Killing/Non-Family | Grand Larceny |
| Willful Killing/Public Officer | Pickpocket |
| Negligible Manslaughter w/Vehicle or Weapon | Stolen Vehicle |
| Involuntary Manslaughter | Theft/Sale/Stripping Stolen Vehicle |
| Voluntary Manslaughter | Receiving Stolen Vehicle |
| Poisoning | Interstate Transportation of Stolen Vehicle |
| Kidnapping | Aircraft Theft |
| Kidnapping for Ransom | Unauthorized Use of Vehicle |
| Kidnapping to Sexually Assault | |
| Hostage for Escape | Forgery and Counterfeiting |
| Abduction, No Ransom or Assault | Forgery of Checks/ID Objects |
| Hijacking Aircraft | Passing/Distributing Counterfeit Items |
| | Forgery Free Text |
| Sexual Assault | |
| Rape, With or Without Weapon | Fraudulent Activities |
| Sodomy | Swindling |
| Statutory Rape | Mail Fraud |
| Carnal Abuse | Impersonation |
| Buggery | False Statement |
| Intent to Ravish | Fraudulent Use of Credit Cards |
| | Insufficient Funds for Checks |
| Robbery | |
| Robbery of Business, With or Without Weapon | Embezzlement |
| Street Robbery, With or Without Weapon | Stolen Property |
| Pursesnatching | Sale of Stolen Property |
| Bank Robbery | Transportation of Stolen Property |
| Highway Robbery | Receiving/Possession of Stolen Property |
| Accessory to Armed Robbery | |
| Assault | Damage to Property |
| Aggravated | Damage to Property (Business, Private or Public Property) |
| Assault/Family/Non-Family/Public Officer, With or Without Weapon | Damage to Business/Private/Public Property with Explosive |
| Intimidation | |
| Assault and Battery | Dangerous Drugs |
| Extortion | Distribution/Sale/Possession/Smuggling of: |
| | Hallucinogen |
| Burglary | Heroin |
| Forcible Entry to | Opium |
| Residence/Non-Residence | Cocaine |
| Non-Forcible Entry to | Synthetic Narcotics |
| Residence/Non-Residence | Marijuana |
| Possession of Burglary Tools | Amphetamines |
| | Barbiturates |
| Larceny | Legend Drugs |
| Pursesnatching without Force | Possession of Narcotic Equipment |
| Shoplifting | |

| | |
|--|--------------------------------------|
| Sex Offenses | Misconduct of Judicial Officer |
| Fondling of Child | Contempt of Congress/Legislature |
| Homosexual Act | Parole/Probation/Conditional Release |
| Incest | Violation |
| Indecent Exposure | Failure to Appear |
| Bestiality | |
| Peeping Tom | Bribery |
| Seduction | Bribe Giving/Offering/Receiving |
| | Conflict of Interest |
| Obscene Materials | Gratuity Giving/Offering/Receiving |
| Manufacture/Sale/Mail/ | Kickback Giving/Offering/Receiving |
| Possession/Distribution/ | |
| Communication of Obscene Materials | Weapon Offenses |
| | Altering Weapon |
| Family Offenses | Carrying Concealed/Prohibited |
| Neglect | Weapon |
| Cruelty Toward Child/Wife | Teaching Use, Transporting or Using |
| Bigamy | Incendiary Device/Explosives |
| Contributing to Delinquency of Minor | Firing/Selling Weapon |
| Non-Support | Threat to Burn/Bomb |
| | |
| Gambling | Public Peace |
| Bookmaking | Anarchism |
| Card/Dice Operation | Engaging In/Inciting Riot |
| Possession/Transportation/Non-Registration | Unlawful Assembly |
| of Gambling Device/Goods | False Fire Alarm |
| Lottery | Harassing Communication |
| Sports Tampering | Desecrating Flag |
| Transmitting Wager Information | Disorderly Conduct |
| | Disturbing the Peace |
| Commercialized Sex Offenses | Curfew Violation |
| Keeping/Frequenting House of Ill Fame | Littering |
| Procurement for Prostitution | |
| Prostitution | Traffic Offenses |
| | Hit and Run |
| Liquor | Transporting Dangerous Material |
| Manufacture/Sale/Possession of Liquor | Driving Under the Influence of |
| | Drugs/Liquor |
| Drunkenness | Driving Under Suspension |
| | |
| Obstructing the Police | Health/Safety |
| Resisting Officer | Misbranded Drugs/Food/Cosmetics |
| Obstructing Criminal Investigation | Adulterated Drugs/Food/Cosmetics |
| Making False Report | |
| Evidence Destroying | Invasion of Privacy |
| Refusing to Aid Officer | Eavesdropping Information/Order |
| Unauthorized Communication with | Divulge Eavesdropping Equipment |
| Prisoner | Open Sealed Communication |
| Failure to Report Crime | Trespassing |
| | Wiretapping |
| Flight/Escape | |
| Aiding Prison Escape | Smuggling |
| Harboring Escapee | Smuggling Contraband |
| Attempted Escape | Smuggling in Prison |
| | Smuggling to Avoid Paying Duty |
| Obstructing Justice | |
| Perjury | |
| Contempt of Court | |

Tax Revenue
Income/Sales/Liquor Tax

Conservation
Animals/Birds/Fish
Environment
License Stamp

Crimes Against Persons

Property Crimes

Morals/Decency Crimes

Public Order Crimes

Abortion
Self of Other
Submission to Abortion Act

Anti-Trust

Vagrancy

APPENDIX H

LEGISLATION RELATING TO MINIMUM SENTENCING/PAROLE ELIGIBILITY FOR:

1. **Armed Robbery**

The Armed Robbery Act, signed on June 24, 1975, pertains to the sentencing of armed robbers, and provides: "(1) for a mandatory ten year minimum sentence with seven years having to be served before parole eligibility; (2) for under twenty-one year old offenders sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act, a three year minimum sentence, all of which must be served; (3) that no person between the ages of twenty-one and twenty-five sentenced under the Act may be sentenced under the Youthful Offender Act; (4) that it shall be a misdemeanor for anyone to carry a concealed weapon anywhere other than on his own premises; and (5) that a person convicted of attempted robbery shall be sentenced to a term of not more than twenty years at the discretion of the judge."

2. **Murder**

This Act, signed into law on June 8, 1977, provides: "that a person who is convicted of or pleads guilty to murder shall be punished by death or by life imprisonment and shall not be eligible for parole until the service of twenty years."

SOUTH CAROLINA STATE LIBRARY



0 01 01 0047883 2